

MAXIMUS FEDERAL SERVICES, INC.

Independent Bill Review
P.O. Box 138006
Sacramento, CA 95813-8006
Fax: (916) 605-4280



INDEPENDENT BILLING REVIEW FINAL DETERMINATION

September 9, 2015

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

IBR Case Number:	CB15-0001321	Date of Injury:	01/12/2015
Claim Number:	[REDACTED]	Application Received:	08/11/2015
Assignment Date:	08/31/2015		
Claims Administrator:	[REDACTED]		
Date(s) of service:	01/12/2015 – 01/12/2015		
Provider Name:	[REDACTED]		
Employee Name:	[REDACTED]		
Disputed Codes:	12041, 29130, and 73140		

Dear [REDACTED]

MAXIMUS Federal Services has completed the Independent Bill Review (“IBR”) of the above workers’ compensation case. This letter provides you with the IBR Final Determination and explains how the determination was made.

Final Determination: OVERTURN. MAXIMUS Federal Services has determined that additional reimbursement is warranted. The Claims Administrator’s determination is reversed and the Claim Administrator owes the Provider additional reimbursement of \$195.00 for the review cost and \$86.84 in additional reimbursement for a total of \$281.84. A detailed explanation of the decision is provided later in this letter.

The Claim Administrator is required to reimburse the Provider a total of **\$281.84** within 45 days of the date on this letter per section 4603.2 (2a) of the California Labor Code. The determination of MAXIMUS Federal Services and its expert reviewer is deemed to be the Final Determination of the Administrative Director of the Division of Workers’ Compensation. This determination is binding on all parties. In certain limited circumstances, you can appeal the Final Determination. Appeals must be filed with the Workers’ Compensation Appeals Board within 20 days from the date of this letter. For more information on appealing the final determination, please see California Labor Code Section 4603.6(f).

Sincerely,

Paul Manchester, M.D., M.P.H.
Medical Director

Cc: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

DOCUMENTS REVIEWED

Pertinent documents reviewed to reach the determination:

- The Independent Bill Review Application
- The original billing itemization
- Supporting documents submitted with the original billing
- Explanation of Review in response to the original bill
- Request for Second Bill Review and documentation
- Supporting documents submitted with the request for second review
- The final explanation of the second review
- OMFS

HOW THE IBR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services Chief Coding Specialist reviewed the case file and researched pertinent coding and billing standards to reach a determination. In some cases a physician reviewer was employed to review the clinical aspects of the care to help make a determination. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services.

ANALYSIS AND FINDING

Based on review of the case file the following is noted:

- **ISSUE IN DISPUTE: Provider seeking \$40.88 for CPT 29130 & \$45.96 for CPT 73140, & additional remuneration for 12041 performed on 01/12/2015.**
- The Claims Administrator denied 29130 & 73140 as “included” in the value of another service. CPT 12041 reimbursement rational based on “**network**” allowance; \$100.00.
- Charges submitted on UB-04, Bill Type 131.
- **CPT 29130**, Application of finger splint, Status Indicator = S
- **CPT 73140** Radiologic examination, finger(s), minimum of 2 views, Status Indicator = X
- **CPT 12041** Repair, intermediate, wounds of neck, hands, feet and/or external genitalia; 2.5 cm or less, Status Indicator = T
- § 9789.33, services rendered on or after September 1, 2014, “**S**”, “**T**”, “**X**”, or “**V**”, “**Q1**”, “**Q2**”, or “**Q3**”. Status code indicators “**Q1**”, “**Q2**”, and “**Q3**” must qualify for separate payment. APC relative weight x adjusted conversion factor x 1.212 workers’ compensation multiplier, pursuant to Section 9789.30(aa). See Section 9789.39(b) for the APC relative weight by date of service. APC relative weight x adjusted conversion factor x 0.808 workers’ compensation multiplier, pursuant to Section 9789.30(aa). See Section 9789.39(b) for the APC relative weight by date of service. APC relative weight x adjusted conversion factor x 1.010 workers’ compensation multiplier, pursuant to Section 9789.30(aa). See Section 9789.39(b) for the APC relative weight by date of service. APC relative weight x adjusted conversion factor x 0.808 workers’ compensation multiplier, pursuant to Section 9789.30(aa). See Section 9789.39(b) for the APC relative weight by date of service.
- Contractual Agreement not submitted for IBR, unable to validate **network** rate indicated on EOR for CPT 12041; reimbursement upheld.
- Pursuant to § 9789.33, reimbursement is indicated for 29130 & 73140.

The table below describes the pertinent claim line information.

