

MAXIMUS FEDERAL SERVICES, INC.

Independent Bill Review
P.O. Box 138006
Sacramento, CA 95813-8006
Fax: (916) 605-4280



INDEPENDENT BILLING REVIEW FINAL DETERMINATION

April 1, 2015

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

| | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| IBR Case Number: | CB15-0000038 | Date of Injury: | 09/15/2014 |
| Claim Number: | [REDACTED] | Application Received: | 01/12/2015 |
| Claims Administrator: | [REDACTED] | | |
| Assigned Date: | 2/3/2015 | | |
| Provider Name: | [REDACTED] | | |
| Employee Name: | [REDACTED] | | |
| Disputed Codes: | 26765-F9, 11760-51-F9 & 29130-51-F9 | | |

Dear [REDACTED]

MAXIMUS Federal Services has completed the Independent Bill Review (“IBR”) of the above workers’ compensation case. This letter provides you with the IBR Final Determination and explains how the determination was made.

Final Determination: OVERTURN. MAXIMUS Federal Services has determined that additional reimbursement is warranted. The Claims Administrator’s determination is reversed and the Claim Administrator owes the Provider additional reimbursement of \$195.00 for the review cost and \$1770.29 in additional reimbursement for a total of \$1965.29. A detailed explanation of the decision is provided later in this letter.

The Claim Administrator is required to reimburse the Provider a total of \$1965.29 within 45 days of the date on this letter per section 4603.2 (2a) of the California Labor Code. The determination of MAXIMUS Federal Services and its expert reviewer is deemed to be the Final Determination of the Administrative Director of the Division of Workers’ Compensation. This determination is binding on all parties. In certain limited circumstances, you can appeal the Final Determination. Appeals must be filed with the Workers’ Compensation Appeals Board within 20 days from the date of this letter. For more information on appealing the final determination, please see California Labor Code Section 4603.6(f).

Sincerely,

Paul Manchester, MD, MPH
Medical Director

cc: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

DOCUMENTS REVIEWED

Pertinent documents reviewed to reach the determination:

- The Independent Bill Review Application
- The original billing itemization
- Supporting documents submitted with the original billing
- Explanation of Review in response to the original bill
- Request for Second Bill Review and documentation
- Supporting documents submitted with the request for second review
- The final explanation of the second review
- Official Medical Fee Schedule
- Negotiated contracted rates:
- National Correct Coding Initiatives
- Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS)

HOW THE IBR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services Chief Coding Specialist reviewed the case file and researched pertinent coding and billing standards to reach a determination. In some cases a physician reviewer was employed to review the clinical aspects of the care to help make a determination. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services.

ANALYSIS AND FINDING

Based on review of the case file the following is noted:

- **ISSUE IN DISPUTE:** Provider is dissatisfied with denial of codes 26765, 11760 and 29130.
- Claims administrator denied these codes indicating on the Explanation of Review “The Official Medical Fee Schedule does not list this code. An allowance has been made for a comparable service.”
- Provider is a surgical center who billed codes on a UB-04 form.
- CPT code 29130 - APPLICATION OF FINGER SPLINT, has a status indicator of P3. P3: Office-based surgical procedure added to ASC list in CY 2008 or later with MPFS non-facility PE RVUs; payment based on MPFS non-facility PE RVUs. This service is packaged and therefore no reimbursement is warranted for 29130.
- Billed codes 26765 and 11760 are both calculated based on payment weight and are subject to the multiple surgery reduction rule. CPT 26765 has the highest payment weight of codes billed and is to be reimbursed at 100%.
- Based on information reviewed, reimbursement of codes 26765 and 11760 is warranted.

The table below describes the pertinent claim line information.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUE IN DISPUTE: Reimbursement of codes 26765 and 11760 is recommended.

| Date of Service: 9/17/2014 | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------|------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Service Code | Provider Billed | Plan Allowed | Dispute Amount | Multiple Surgery | Workers' Comp Allowed Amt. | Notes |
| 26765-F9 | \$1826.60 | \$0.00 | \$1826.60 | 100% | \$1686.86 | DISPUTED SERVICE: Allow reimbursement \$1686.86 |
| 11760-51-59 | \$90.34 | \$0.00 | \$90.34 | 50% | \$166.86 | DISPUTED SERVICE: Allow reimbursement \$83.43 |
| 29130-51-59 | \$50.70 | \$0.00 | \$50.70 | N/A | \$0.00 | DISPUTED SERVICE: No reimbursement recommended. |

Copy to:

[REDACTED]

Copy to:

[REDACTED]