

**MAXIMUS FEDERAL SERVICES, INC.**

Independent Bill Review  
P.O. Box 138006  
Sacramento, CA 95813-8006  
Fax: (916) 605-4280

---

**Independent Bill Review Final Determination Upheld**

3/19/2014

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

Re: Claim Number: [REDACTED]  
Claims Administrator name: [REDACTED]  
Date of Disputed Services: 6/10/2013 – 6/10/2013  
MAXIMUS IBR Case: CB13-0000621

Dear [REDACTED]

**Determination:**

A Request for Independent Bill Review (IBR) was assigned to MAXIMUS Federal Services on 11/15/2013, by the Administrative Director of the California Division of Workers' Compensation pursuant to California Labor Code section 4603.6. MAXIMUS Federal Services has determined that the **Claims Administrator's determination is upheld**. This determination finds that the Claims Administrator does not owe the Provider additional reimbursement.

**Pertinent Records and Other Appropriate Information Relevant to the Determination Reviewed:**

The following evidence was used to support the decision:

- The original billing itemization
- Supporting documents submitted with the original billing
- Explanation of Review in response to the original bill
- Request for Second Bill Review and documentation
- Supporting documents submitted with the request for second review
- The final explanation of the second review
- Other: OMFS General Information and Instructions, Surgery Ground Rules and Guidelines

## **Supporting Analysis:**

The dispute regards the denial of chart reproduction services (99086), photos (99085), report (99080) and the reimbursement of surgical procedure (17999) for date of service 6/10/2013. The provider billed CPT 99086, CPT 99085, CPT 99080 and CPT 17999, was reimbursed \$500.00 and is requesting additional reimbursement of \$800.00. The Claims Administrator reimbursed \$500.00 for CPT 17999 indicating "17999 is compared to the value 17107. There are 8 scars on the right leg which was paid in full less PPO discount." The Claims Administrator denied reimbursement on CPT 99085 and CPT 99086 indicating "99086 and 99085 which require authorization has not been obtained/submitted." The Claims Administrator denied reimbursement on CPT 99080 indicating "CPT code 99080 per fee schedule guidelines procedure codes for surgical procedures include a report."

CPT 99086 - Reproduction of chart notes.

CPT 99085 - Special external photography for documentation of significant medical progress or condition may warrant an additional charge.

CPT 99080 - Special reports such as insurance forms, more than the information conveyed in the usual medical communications or standard reporting form.

CPT 17999 - The description of the billed procedure code 17999 is "Unlisted procedure, skin, mucous membrane and subcutaneous tissue. Per the Official Medical Fee Schedule, the procedure code 17999 does not have an assigned unit value and is considered a "By Report" code. Per the OMFS Surgery General Information and Ground Rules, procedures coded By Report are services which are unusual or variable. An unlisted service, or one that is rarely provided, unusual or variable, may require a report demonstrating the medical appropriateness of the service. Pertinent information should include an adequate definition or description of the nature or extent, and need for the procedure and the time, effort and equipment necessary to provide the service. By Report procedure values may also be determined by using the values assigned to a comparable procedure.

Based on the OMFS General Information and Instructions, request for chart notes shall be in writing and be made only by the Claims Administrator. A request for chart notes from the Claims Administrator was not submitted as part of the documentation. Reimbursement for CPT 99086 is not warranted.

The second disputed code is the charge for photos billed as CPT 99085. Per the OMFS the procedure code 99085 is listed as a "By Report" service. Procedures without unit values or "By Report" are defined as "Unlisted service or one that is rarely provided, unusual or variable may require a report demonstrating the medical appropriateness of the service. Pertinent information should include an adequate definition or description of the nature, extent, and need for the procedure, and the time, effort and equipment necessary to provide the service." The documentation to support the "By Report" separate reimbursement was not submitted. Services such as "photos" are considered procedures that are commonly carried out as an integral part of a total service, and does not warrant separate reimbursement. The denial of procedure code 99085 by the Claims Administrator was correct.

The Third disputed billed procedure code is CPT 99080. The Provider submitted a "Progress Report (PR-2), and Request for Authorization" report. A written request for a special report from the Claims Administrator was not submitted as part of the documentation. The type of report submitted by the Provider was not a Primary Treating Physician Progress Report (PR-2), or a separately reimbursable report as described in the OMFS General Information and Instructions Separately Reimbursable Treatment Reports section, therefore, the denial of the report code 99080 by the Claims Administrator was correct.

The fourth disputed code is procedure code 17999. The Provider submitted an operative report for this procedure. Per the operative report, procedure performed was a Subcision and location was listed as right leg/mid shin. The operative report did not indicate size or number of scars treated. Based on the documentation submitted, a comparable procedure code or By Report allowance higher than the Claims Administrator's reimbursement of \$500.00 could not be determined. Based on a review of the explanation of review (EOR), it appears the reimbursement was based on the OMFS surgical procedure code 17107. The description of CPT 17107 is "Destruction of cutaneous vascular proliferative lesions (e.g., laser technique); 10.0 – 50.0 sq. cm."

There is no additional reimbursement warranted per the Official Medical Fee Schedule codes 99086, 99085, 99080 and 17999.

The chart below provides a comparison of billed charges and reimbursement rates for the codes and dates of services at issue.

Validated Code	Validated Units	Dispute Amount	Total Fee Schedule Allowance	Provider Paid Amount	Allowed Recommended Reimbursement	Fee Schedule Utilized
99086	3	\$90.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	PPO Contract
99085		\$150.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	PPO Contract
99080		\$60.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	PPO Contract
17999		\$500.00	\$500.00	\$500.00	\$0.00	PPO Contract

**Chief Coding Specialist Decision Rationale:**

This decision was based on OMFS General Information and Instructions, Surgery Guidelines, medical record and comparison with explanation of review. This was determined correctly by the Claims Administrator and the payment of \$500.00 is upheld.

This decision constitutes the final determination of the Division of Workers' Compensation Administrative Director, is binding on all parties, and is not subject to further appeal except as specified in Labor Code section 4603.6(f)

Sincerely,

██████████, RHIT

Copy to:

██████████  
 ██████████  
 ██████████

Copy to:

██  
 ██████████ ██████████ ██████████  
 ██████████