

**INDEPENDENT BILLING REVIEW FINAL DETERMINATION**

November 21, 2014

[Redacted]  
[Redacted]  
[Redacted]  
[Redacted]

<b>IBR Case Number:</b>	CB13-0000318	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	01/15/2006
<b>Claim Number:</b>	[Redacted]	<b>Application Received:</b>	08/02/2013
<b>Claims Administrator:</b>	[Redacted]	<b>Assignment Date:</b>	08/28/2014
<b>Provider Name:</b>	[Redacted]		
<b>Employee Name:</b>	[Redacted]		
<b>Disputed Codes:</b>	63650-59		

Dear [Redacted]

MAXIMUS Federal Services has completed the Independent Bill Review (“IBR”) of the above workers’ compensation case. This letter provides you with the IBR Final Determination and explains how the determination was made.

**Final Determination: OVERTURN. MAXIMUS Federal Services has determined that additional reimbursement is warranted. The Claims Administrator’s determination is reversed and the Claim Administrator owes the Provider additional reimbursement of \$335.00 for the review cost and \$4034.80 in additional reimbursement for a total of \$4369.80. A detailed explanation of the decision is provided later in this letter.**

The Claim Administrator is required to reimburse the Provider a total of \$4369.80 within 45 days of the date on this letter per section 4603.2 (2a) of the California Labor Code. The determination of MAXIMUS Federal Services and its expert reviewer is deemed to be the Final Determination of the Administrative Director of the Division of Workers’ Compensation. This determination is binding on all parties. In certain limited circumstances, you can appeal the Final Determination. Appeals must be filed with the Workers’ Compensation Appeals Board within 20 days from the date of this letter. For more information on appealing the final determination, please see California Labor Code Section 4603.6(f).

Sincerely,

[Redacted]

Chief Coding Reviewer

cc: [Redacted]  
[Redacted]

## DOCUMENTS REVIEWED

Pertinent documents reviewed to reach the determination:

- The Independent Bill Review Application
- The original billing itemization
- Supporting documents submitted with the original billing
- Explanation of Review in response to the original bill
- Request for Second Bill Review and documentation
- Supporting documents submitted with the request for second review
- The final explanation of the second review
- Official Medical Fee Schedule
- Negotiated contracted rates: none
- National Correct Coding Initiatives
- Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS)
- Other: AMA CPT Assistant, April 1999.
- Other: CMS Medically Unlikely Edit (MUE) file for Hospital Outpatient Services

## HOW THE IBR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services Chief Coding Specialist reviewed the case file and researched pertinent coding and billing standards to reach a determination. In some cases a physician reviewer was employed to review the clinical aspects of the care to help make a determination. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services.

## ANALYSIS AND FINDING

Based on review of the case file the following is noted:

- **ISSUE IN DISPUTE:** Denial of CPT codes 63650-59.
- Based on the NCCI edits there are no conflicts with code 63685.
- Based on review of the operative report the use of CPT codes 63650, 63650-59 and 63685 are substantiated.
- According to *CPT Assistant*, April 1999, page 10, CPT code 63650 can be used for each electrode inserted. The operative report clearly states more than one simulator was used. Furthermore the CMS MUE indicates that 2 units of code 63650 can be billed.
- Code 63650 has a status indicator of “S” indicating that a multiple surgery reduction is not applied.
- The Provider is located in Orange County and therefore Conversion Factor of \$77.17 used.
- Reimbursement calculation as follows:  
 $63650 = 63.7616 * 77.17 * .82 = \$4034.80$

The table below describes the pertinent claim line information.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUE IN DISPUTE: Reimbursement of code 63650-59 should be made to the Provider at \$4034.80.

Date of Service: 01-24-2013						
Service Code	Provider Billed	Plan Allowed	Dispute Amount	Mult Surg	Workers' Comp Allowed Amt.	Notes
63650-59	\$8625.00	\$0	\$4034.80	100%	\$4034.80	<b>DISPUTED SERVICE</b> Allow reimbursement of code 63650-59 at \$4034.80.

Copy to:

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

Copy to:

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]