INDEPENDENT BILLING REVIEW FINAL DETERMINATION

November 4, 2014

IBR Case Number: CB14-0001132 Date of Injury: 12/09/2004
Claim Number: Application Received: 08/13/2014
Claims Administrator: Assignment Date: 9/10/2014
Provider Name: Employee Name:

Disputed Codes: 62311, 76499, 72100 x 6

Dear [Redacted]

MAXIMUS Federal Services has completed the Independent Bill Review (“IBR”) of the above workers’ compensation case. This letter provides you with the IBR Final Determination and explains how the determination was made.

Final Determination: OVERTURN. MAXIMUS Federal Services has determined that additional reimbursement is warranted. The Claims Administrator’s determination is reversed and the Claim Administrator owes the Provider additional reimbursement of $250.00 for the review cost and $119.99 in additional reimbursement for a total of $369.99. A detailed explanation of the decision is provided later in this letter.

The Claim Administrator is required to reimburse the Provider a total of $369.99 within 45 days of the date on this letter per section 4603.2 (2a) of the California Labor Code. The determination of MAXIMUS Federal Services and its expert reviewer is deemed to be the Final Determination of the Administrative Director of the Division of Workers’ Compensation. This determination is binding on all parties. In certain limited circumstances, you can appeal the Final Determination. Appeals must be filed with the Workers’ Compensation Appeals Board within 20 days from the date of this letter. For more information on appealing the final determination, please see California Labor Code Section 4603.6(f).

Sincerely,

[Redacted]

Chief Coding Reviewer

cc: [Redacted]
DOCSUMENTS REVIEWED

Pertinent documents reviewed to reach the determination:

- The Independent Bill Review Application
- The original billing itemization
- Supporting documents submitted with the original billing
- Explanation of Review in response to the original bill
- Request for Second Bill Review and documentation
- Supporting documents submitted with the request for second review
- The final explanation of the second review
- Official Medical Fee Schedule
- National Correct Coding Initiatives

HOW THE IBR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services Chief Coding Specialist reviewed the case file and researched pertinent coding and billing standards to reach a determination. In some cases a physician reviewer was employed to review the clinical aspects of the care to help make a determination. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services.

ANALYSIS AND FINDING

Based on review of the case file the following is noted:

- **ISSUE IN DISPUTE**: Provider is dissatisfied with the reimbursement of CPT 62311, 72100 and 76499.
- Provider billed CPT 62311 with a Place of Service (POS) 21. Claims Administrator reimbursed $0.00 for the billed code. Operative report documented: Right L4-5 epidural steroid injection.” Additional reimbursement is recommended up to the OMFS allowance for CPT 62311.
- CPT 76499: Unlisted diagnostic radiographic procedure.
  - Operative report listed the procedure as “Right L4-5 epidurogram.”
  - Correct code assignment for an epidurogram is CPT 72275.
  - When HCPCS/CPT code 72275 is reported with the procedure described by HCPCS/CPT code 62311 reporting the former code represents a misuse of this code, and separate payment is not allowed.
  - Images and epidurogram report not submitted as part of the documentation.
  - CPT 76499 not billed with appropriate modifier to identify the service as distinct or separate from primary procedure 62311.
- CPT 72100 x 6: X-ray of lower and sacral spine, 2 or 3 views
  - Practitioner Services MUE value is 1
  - Reimbursement for more than one unit during one encounter for CPT 72100 would not be recommended.
- Operative report: Biplanar AP and lateral Fluoroscopic x-rays were taken as needed for needle localization. A total of six lumbar x-rays were taken.
- Based on the operative report description of CPT 72100, services were an integral part of the primary procedure (62311) and would not warrant separate payment.
- Separate fluoroscopy/Radiography report not submitted as part of the documentation.
- The table below describes the pertinent claim line information.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUE IN DISPUTE: Reimbursement of code 62311, 76499 and 72100 x 6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Service: 4/21/2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physician Services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Service Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76499 (72275)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

National Correct Coding Initiative information:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
<th>Column 1</th>
<th>Column 2</th>
<th>Modifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physician Version Number: 20.0</td>
<td>62311</td>
<td>72275</td>
<td>Allowed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Copy to:

[Redacted]

Copy to: