INDEPENDENT BILLING REVIEW FINAL DETERMINATION

December 12, 2014

Dear [Name],

MAXIMUS Federal Services has completed the Independent Bill Review ("IBR") of the above workers’ compensation case. This letter provides you with the IBR Final Determination and explains how the determination was made.

Final Determination: UPHOLD. MAXIMUS Federal Services has determined that no additional reimbursement is warranted. The Claims Administrator’s determination is upheld and the Claim Administrator does not owe the Provider additional reimbursement. A detailed explanation of the decision is provided later in this letter.

The determination of MAXIMUS Federal Services and its expert reviewer is deemed to be the Final Determination of the Administrative Director of the Division of Workers’ Compensation. This determination is binding on all parties. In certain limited circumstances, you can appeal the Final Determination. Appeals must be filed with the Workers’ Compensation Appeals Board within 20 days from the date of this letter. For more information on appealing the final determination, please see California Labor Code Section 4603.6(f).

Sincerely,

[Name]

Medical Director

cc: [Name]
DOCUMENTS REVIEWED

Pertinent documents reviewed to reach the determination:

- The Independent Bill Review Application
- The original billing itemization
- Supporting documents submitted with the original billing
- Explanation of Review in response to the original bill
- Request for Second Bill Review and documentation
- Supporting documents submitted with the request for second review
- The final explanation of the second review
- Official Medical Fee Schedule
- Negotiated contracted rates:
- National Correct Coding Initiatives
- Other: OMFS 2014 Physician Fee Schedule

HOW THE IBR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services Chief Coding Specialist reviewed the case file and researched pertinent coding and billing standards to reach a determination. In some cases a physician reviewer was employed to review the clinical aspects of the care to help make a determination. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services.

ANALYSIS AND FINDING

Based on review of the case file the following is noted:

- **ISSUE IN DISPUTE:** Provider is dissatisfied with denial of code 99199 Special Report.
- Claims Administrator denied code indicating on the Explanation of Review “Provide correct CPT codes for all svc’s rendered.”
- Documentation reviewed includes authorization for Record Review and upon completion to send a copy of the medical report with your billing for services rendered. “All fees will be paid in accordance with the Workers’ Compensation fee schedule.”
- §9789.12.1 Physician Fee Schedule: Official Medical Fee Schedule for Physician and Non-Physician Practitioner Services – For Services Rendered On or After January 1, 2014 (a) Maximum reasonable fees for physician and non-physician practitioner medical treatment provided pursuant to Labor Code section 4600, which is rendered on or after January 1, 2014, shall be no more than the amount determined by the Official Medical Fee Schedule for Physician and Non – Physician Practitioners, consisting of the regulations set forth in Sections 9789.12.1 through 9789.19 (“Physician Fee Schedule.”) Maximum fees for services rendered prior to January 1, 2014 shall be determined in accordance with the fee schedule in effect at the time the service was rendered. The Physician Fee Schedule shall not govern fees for services covered by a contract setting such fees as permitted by Labor Code section 5307.11.
- Provider was reimbursed for code WC007 for the report based on Record Review which was authorized. Nothing in the authorization called for any additional information or
documentation to necessitate a Special Report. Therefore, reimbursement of code 99199 is not warranted.

The table below describes the pertinent claim line information.

**DETERMINATION OF ISSUE IN DISPUTE:** Based on information received, reimbursement of code 99199 is not warranted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Service: 3/17/2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physician Services</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99199</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Copy to:

[Redacted]

Copy to:

[Redacted]