INDEPENDENT BILLING REVIEW FINAL DETERMINATION

December 11, 2014

Dear [Name],

MAXIMUS Federal Services has completed the Independent Bill Review (“IBR”) of the above workers’ compensation case. This letter provides you with the IBR Final Determination and explains how the determination was made.

Final Determination: UPHOLD. MAXIMUS Federal Services has determined that no additional reimbursement is warranted. The Claims Administrator’s determination is upheld and the Claim Administrator does not owe the Provider additional reimbursement. A detailed explanation of the decision is provided later in this letter.

The determination of MAXIMUS Federal Services and its expert reviewer is deemed to be the Final Determination of the Administrative Director of the Division of Workers’ Compensation. This determination is binding on all parties. In certain limited circumstances, you can appeal the Final Determination. Appeals must be filed with the Workers’ Compensation Appeals Board within 20 days from the date of this letter. For more information on appealing the final determination, please see California Labor Code Section 4603.6(f).

Sincerely,

[Medical Director]

cc: [CC Name]
DOCUMENTS REVIEWED

Pertinent documents reviewed to reach the determination:

- The Independent Bill Review Application
- The original billing itemization
- Supporting documents submitted with the original billing
- Explanation of Review in response to the original bill
- Request for Second Bill Review and documentation
- Supporting documents submitted with the request for second review
- The final explanation of the second review
- Official Medical Fee Schedule

HOW THE IBR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services Chief Coding Specialist reviewed the case file and researched pertinent coding and billing standards to reach a determination. In some cases a physician reviewer was employed to review the clinical aspects of the care to help make a determination. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services.

ANALYSIS AND FINDING

Based on review of the case file the following is noted:

- **ISSUE IN DISPUTE**: Provider seeking remuneration for 93306 TTE with doppler complete, for service date 04/16/2014
- Claims Administrator denied 93306 service stating: “No separate payment was made because the value of the service is included within the value of another service performed on the same day.”
- 93306 is paired to billed code 93351 Stress TTE Complete.
- NCCI edits reveal 93351 is Colum 1 Code when billed with Colum 2 Code, 93306.
- Under certain circumstances, the paired codes in question may be unbundled with the use of modifier -59.
- Modifier -59 was not appended to the initial claims submission of 05/08/2014 or the second claims submission, 06/03/2014.
- AME Med-Legal report did not indicate whether 99306 and 99351 were performed during the same session or sequentially.
The table below describes the pertinent claim line information.

**DETERMINATION OF ISSUE IN DISPUTE:** Based on the aforementioned documentation and guidelines reimbursement for 93306 is not recommended.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Service: 04/16/2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physician Services 93306</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Code</th>
<th>Provider Billed</th>
<th>Plan Allowed</th>
<th>Dispute Amount</th>
<th>Assist Surgeon</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Workers’ Comp Allowed Amt.</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>93306</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>Refer to Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93351</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Not In Dispute</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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[Redacted text]
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