INDEPENDENT BILLING REVIEW FINAL DETERMINATION

December 12, 2014

Dear [Name]

MAXIMUS Federal Services has completed the Independent Bill Review ("IBR") of the above workers' compensation case. This letter provides you with the IBR Final Determination and explains how the determination was made.

**Final Determination: UPHOLD.** MAXIMUS Federal Services has determined that no additional reimbursement is warranted. The Claims Administrator’s determination is upheld and the Claim Administrator does not owe the Provider additional reimbursement. A detailed explanation of the decision is provided later in this letter.

The determination of MAXIMUS Federal Services and its expert reviewer is deemed to be the Final Determination of the Administrative Director of the Division of Workers’ Compensation. This determination is binding on all parties. In certain limited circumstances, you can appeal the Final Determination. Appeals must be filed with the Workers’ Compensation Appeals Board within 20 days from the date of this letter. For more information on appealing the final determination, please see California Labor Code Section 4603.6(f).

Sincerely,

[Name]
Medical Director

cc: [Name]
DOCUMENTS REVIEWED

Pertinent documents reviewed to reach the determination:

- The Independent Bill Review Application
- The original billing itemization
- Supporting documents submitted with the original billing
- Explanation of Review in response to the original bill
- Request for Second Bill Review and documentation
- Supporting documents submitted with the request for second review
- The final explanation of the second review
- Official Medical-Legal Fee Schedule

HOW THE IBR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services Chief Coding Specialist reviewed the case file and researched pertinent coding and billing standards to reach a determination. In some cases a physician reviewer was employed to review the clinical aspects of the care to help make a determination. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services.

ANALYSIS AND FINDING

Based on review of the case file the following is noted:

- **ISSUE IN DISPUTE**: Provider is disputing $0.00 reimbursement for Modifier -93 relative to ML102 service.
- Claims Administrator EOR 04/10/2014 did not provide an explanation for denial of Modifier -93.
- Claims Administrator EOR 05/06/2013 and 06/03/2013 stats, “Charged Reduced in accordance with applicable fee schedule.”
- Modifier -93 Definition: Interpreter needed at time of examination, or other circumstances which impair communication between the physician and the injured worker and significantly increase the time needed to conduct the examination; **requires a description of the circumstance and the increased time required for the examination as a result.** Where this modifier is applicable, the value for the procedure is modified by multiplying the normal value by 1.1.
- Modifier -93 is a valid modifier under the Medical Legal Fee Schedule.
- QME Report 03/18/2014 documented the presence of the interpreter and did not include a description or documentation of the additional time required for the examination as a direct result of the use of an interpreter.
- The documentation requirements for the reporting of Modifier -93 were not met.
The table below describes the pertinent claim line information.

**DETERMINATION OF ISSUE IN DISPUTE: Modifier - 93**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Code</th>
<th>Provider Billed</th>
<th>Plan Allowed</th>
<th>Dispute Amount</th>
<th>Multiple Surgery</th>
<th>Workers’ Comp Allowed Amt.</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Modifier -93</td>
<td>$62.50</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$62.50</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>Refer to Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML102</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Service Not In Dispute</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Copy to:

[Redacted]

Copy to:

[Redacted]