MAXIMUS FEDERAL SERVICES, INC.
Independent Bill Review
P.O. Box 138006
Sacramento, CA 95813-8006
Fax: (916) 605-4280

INDEPENDENT BILLING REVIEW FINAL DETERMINATION

November 6, 2014

Dear

MAXIMUS Federal Services has completed the Independent Bill Review (“IBR”) of the above workers’ compensation case. This letter provides you with the IBR Final Determination and explains how the determination was made.

Final Determination: OVERTURN. MAXIMUS Federal Services has determined that additional reimbursement is warranted. The Claims Administrator’s determination is reversed and the Claim Administrator owes the Provider additional reimbursement of $250.00 for the review cost and $29.74 in additional reimbursement for a total of $279.74.

A detailed explanation of the decision is provided later in this letter.

The Claim Administrator is required to reimburse the Provider a total of $279.74 within 45 days of the date on this letter per section 4603.2 (2a) of the California Labor Code. The determination of MAXIMUS Federal Services and its expert reviewer is deemed to be the Final Determination of the Administrative Director of the Division of Workers’ Compensation. This determination is binding on all parties. In certain limited circumstances, you can appeal the Final Determination. Appeals must be filed with the Workers’ Compensation Appeals Board within 20 days from the date of this letter. For more information on appealing the final determination, please see California Labor Code Section 4603.6(f).

Sincerely,

Chief Coding Reviewer

cc:
DOCUMENTS REVIEWED

Pertinent documents reviewed to reach the determination:

- The Independent Bill Review Application
- The original billing itemization
- Supporting documents submitted with the original billing
- Explanation of Review in response to the original bill
- Request for Second Bill Review and documentation
- Supporting documents submitted with the request for second review
- The final explanation of the second review
- Official Medical Fee Schedule
- National Correct Coding Initiatives
- Other: OMFS Physician Services

HOW THE IBR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services Chief Coding Specialist reviewed the case file and researched pertinent coding and billing standards to reach a determination. In some cases a physician reviewer was employed to review the clinical aspects of the care to help make a determination. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services.

ANALYSIS AND FINDING

Based on review of the case file the following is noted:

- **ISSUE IN DISPUTE**: The Provider is dissatisfied with the reimbursement of $0.00 for CPT 99081 and 99070 (65162062711).
- Provider submitted a PR-2 report documenting an E/M service on 12/23/2013 with a request for authorization for the following: 5 Supartz injections to left knee; spine surgeon consultation; and Medication Ultram.
- Documentation included a Request for Case Status and Certification identifying the Provider as the PTP, signed by Claims Adjuster 11/14/2013.
- Documentation supports the reimbursement of CPT 99081.
- NDC 65162062711: documentation included a RFA for Ultram (Tramadol 50mg) tablets #120 and Certification for Ultram 50mg #120.
- Documentation supports the reimbursement of NDC 65162062711, based on the OMFS Pharmacy Calculator.
The table below describes the pertinent claim line information.

**DETERMINATION OF ISSUE IN DISPUTE:** Reimbursement of code 99081 and 99070 (65162062711).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Service: 12/23/2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physician Services</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99070</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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