Dear [Redacted]

**Determination**
A Request for Independent Bill Review (IBR) was assigned to MAXIMUS Federal Services on 04/21/2014, by the Administrative Director of the California Division of Workers' Compensation pursuant to California Labor Code section 4603.6. MAXIMUS Federal Services has determined that the Claims Administrator’s determination is upheld. This determination finds that the Claims Administrator does not owe the Provider additional reimbursement.

**Pertinent Records and Other Appropriate Information Relevant to the Determination Reviewed:**
The following evidence was used to support the decision:

- The original billing itemization
- Supporting documents submitted with the original billing
- Explanation of Review in response to the original bill
- Request for Second Bill Review and documentation
- Supporting documents submitted with the request for second review
- The final explanation of the second review
- Other: CMS’ National Correct Coding Initiative Guidelines 01/01/2013
ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS:
Based on review of the case file the following is noted:

**ISSUE IN DISPUTE: Provider dissatisfied with reimbursement of code 82486**

- The dispute regards a consolidated request from the Provider on 2 separate dates of service (dos) for one worker.
- Date of service 09/17/2013 is disputing CPT code of 82486 x 40 units. Provider was reimbursed $141.78 (per EOR) and is seeking additional reimbursement of $1107.26.
- Date of service 10/15/2013 is disputing code 82486 x 40 units. Provider was reimbursed $141.78 (per EOR) and is seeking additional reimbursement of $1107.26.
- Claims Administrator bundled the billed procedure code 82486 into HCPCS G0431 for both dates of service indicating the following on the Explanations of Reviews (EOR): “The Official Medical Fee Schedule does not list this code. An allowance has been made for a comparable service. The charge exceeds the Official Medical Fee Schedule allowance. The charge has been adjusted to the scheduled OMFS allowance.”
- Provider submitted laboratory results (on both dates of service) for the CPT codes documenting qualitative test results for the following drug categories: Narcotics/Analgesics, Opiates, Oxycodone, Methadone, Benzodiazepines, Barbiturates, Amphetamines, Tricyclic Antidepressants, Antidepressants, Neuropathic and Sedatives/Hypnotics. Although the results of the laboratory reports may have been different, the drug categories described were the same on both reports for both dates of service.
- Provider billed laboratory services on a CMS-1500 form with CPT 82486 x 40, along with ICD-9 V58.83; Encounter for therapeutic drug monitoring for both dates of service.
- No documents have been submitted to support the necessity for CPT 82486 x 40. Only CMS-1500 form and 2 page lab results of the aforementioned chemicals can be taken into consideration during this review for both dates of service. In addition, the ICD-9 code is not coded to the highest specificity for CPT 82486 x 40 on both dates of service.
- The Provider conducted drug screening tests (on both dates of service) utilizing the Chromatography method. The HCPCS code G0431 can be used for any method. The HCPCS code G0431 is reported with only one unit of service regardless of the number of drugs screened. The testing described by G0431 includes all CLIA high complexity urine drug screen testing as well as any less complex urine drug screen testing performed at the same patient encounter.
- HCPCS G0431: Drug screen qualitative; multiple drug classes by high complexity test method (e.g. immunoassay, enzyme assay), per patient encounter.

**DETERMINATION OF ISSUE IN DISPUTE:** Based on the documentation submitted, the code assignment and reimbursement of HCPCS G0431, the Claims Administrator was correct. There is no additional reimbursement warranted for the Official Medical Fee Schedule codes 82486 (G0431).
The chart below provides a comparison of billed charges and reimbursement rates for the codes and dates of services at issue.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Code</th>
<th>Provider Billed</th>
<th>Plan Allowed</th>
<th>Dispute Amount</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Workers’ Comp Allowed Amount</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G0431</td>
<td>$1227.20</td>
<td>$119.94</td>
<td>$1107.26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$119.94</td>
<td>DISPUTED SERVICE – No additional reimbursement recommended.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G0431</td>
<td>$1227.20</td>
<td>$119.94</td>
<td>$1107.26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$119.94</td>
<td>DISPUTED SERVICE – No additional reimbursement recommended.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Determination:** UPHOLD

**Chief Coding Specialist Decision Rationale:**

This decision was based on medical record, explanation of review and comparison with Official Medical Fee Schedule Pathology and Clinical Laboratory Fee Schedule. This was determined correctly by the Claims Administrator and the payment of $239.88 is upheld.

This decision constitutes the final determination of the Division of Workers' Compensation Administrative Director, is binding on all parties, and is not subject to further appeal except as specified in Labor Code section 4603.6(f).

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Chief Coding Reviewer

Copy to:

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

Copy to:

[Redacted]