Dear [Redacted]:

**Determination:**

A Request for Independent Bill Review (IBR) was assigned to MAXIMUS Federal Services on 8/11/2014, by the Administrative Director of the California Division of Workers’ Compensation pursuant to California Labor Code section 4603.6. MAXIMUS Federal Services has determined that the Claims Administrator's determination is upheld. This determination finds that the Claims Administrator does not owe the Provider additional reimbursement.

**Pertinent Records and Other Appropriate Information Relevant to the Determination Reviewed:**

The following evidence was used to support the decision:

- The original billing itemization
- Supporting documents submitted with the original billing
- Explanation of Review in response to the original bill
- Request for Second Bill Review and documentation
- Supporting documents submitted with the request for second review
- The final explanation of the second review
- Other: Official Medical Fee Schedule, Labor Code 5307.1
ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS:

Based on review of the case file the following is noted:

- **ISSUE IN DISPUTE:** Codes 38779052409, 49452003202 and 62991142202 is under review as it was denied in full (or part) for SERVICE.

- Pursuant to Labor Code Section 5307.1(e)(2), any compounded drug product shall be billed by the compounding pharmacy or dispensing physician at the ingredient level, with each ingredient identified using the applicable National Drug Code (NDC) of the ingredient and the corresponding quantity, and in accordance with regulations adopted by the California State Board of Pharmacy. Ingredients with no NDC shall not be separately reimbursable. The ingredient-level reimbursement shall be equal to 100 percent of the reimbursement allowed by the Medi-Cal payment system and payment shall be based on the sum of the allowable fee for each ingredient plus a dispensing fee equal to the dispensing fee allowed by the Medi-Cal payment systems. **If the compounded drug product is dispensed by a physician, the maximum reimbursement shall not exceed 300 percent of documented paid costs, but in no case more than twenty dollars ($20) above documented paid costs.**

- The initial and final review reimbursed $0.00 for the compounded drug product with the following explanation: We cannot review this service without necessary documentation. Please submit with indicated documentation as soon as possible (resubmit invoice for review)

- The Provider is billing for a compounded drug product (Fentanyl, Bupivacaine and Clonidine), the medications were administered and dispensed in the office for an Intrathecal Drug Delivery System (IDDS) pump refill.

- The documented paid cost/invoice for the billed medications was not submitted as part of the original documentation. MAXIMUS requested a copy of the invoice and/or proof of paid costs. The Provider did not submit the requested information and indicated in a response to MAXIMUS “Pharmacy invoicing pricing will not be provided.”

- The documented paid costs are necessary to determine reimbursement. The Claims Administrator requested the documentation in order to determine appropriate reimbursement; the requested information was not supplied. Due to the lack of the requested documentation, reimbursement is not warranted.

- The table below describes the pertinent claim line information.

  **DETERMINATION OF ISSUE IN DISPUTE:** Reimbursement of the compounded drug is not recommended.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Code</th>
<th>Provider Billed</th>
<th>Plan Allowed</th>
<th>Dispute Amount</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Workers' Comp Allowed Amount</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Date of Service – 4/17/2013</strong> Pharmacy</td>
<td>$10,502.40</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$10,502.40</td>
<td>0.8 gm</td>
<td>1.2 gm</td>
<td>DISPUTED SERVICE – See Analysis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pharmacy</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>38779052409/49452003202/62991142202</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Determination: UPHOLD

Chief Coding Specialist Decision Rationale:

This decision was based on supplied medical record and comparison with OMFS Pharmacy Fee Schedule. This was determined correctly by the Claims Administrator and the payment of $0.00 is upheld.

This decision constitutes the final determination of the Division of Workers' Compensation Administrative Director, is binding on all parties, and is not subject to further appeal except as specified in Labor Code section 4603.6(f)

Sincerely,

Chief Coding Specialist
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