INDEPENDENT BILLING REVIEW FINAL DETERMINATION

November 6, 2014

Dear [Provider Name]

MAXIMUS Federal Services has completed the Independent Bill Review (“IBR”) of the above workers’ compensation case. This letter provides you with the IBR Final Determination and explains how the determination was made.

IBR Case Assigned: 04/14/2014

Final Determination: UPHOLD. MAXIMUS Federal Services has determined that no additional reimbursement is warranted. The Claims Administrator’s determination is upheld and the Claim Administrator does not owe the Provider additional reimbursement. A detailed explanation of the decision is provided later in this letter.

The determination of MAXIMUS Federal Services and its expert reviewer is deemed to be the Final Determination of the Administrative Director of the Division of Workers’ Compensation. This determination is binding on all parties. In certain limited circumstances, you can appeal the Final Determination. Appeals must be filed with the Workers’ Compensation Appeals Board within 20 days from the date of this letter. For more information on appealing the final determination, please see California Labor Code Section 4603.6(f).

Sincerely,

[Chief Coding Reviewer]

cc:
DOCUMENTS REVIEWED

Pertinent documents reviewed to reach the determination:

- The Independent Bill Review Application
- The original billing itemization
- Supporting documents submitted with the original billing
- Explanation of Review in response to the original bill
- Request for Second Bill Review and documentation
- Supporting documents submitted with the request for second review
- The final explanation of the second review
- Official Medical Fee Schedule

HOW THE IBR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services Chief Coding Specialist reviewed the case file and researched pertinent coding and billing standards to reach a determination. In some cases a physician reviewer was employed to review the clinical aspects of the care to help make a determination. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services.

ANALYSIS AND FINDING

Based on review of the case file the following is noted:

- **ISSUE IN DISPUTE**: Provider is disputing $0.00 reimbursement for service code 99080.
- Claims Administrator denied reimbursement for CPT 99080 for the following reason: “Reimbursement for this report is included with other services provided on the same day; therefore no separate payment is warranted.”
- Documentation provided indicates that the Provider is not the Primary Physician for the Injured Worker and evaluated the Injured Worker on 11/26/2013 for “left shoulder pain” sustained post injury at work.
- CMS 1500 reflects 99244, service was provided. E.O. R. indicates change to 99214. 99214, service is not in dispute.
- 8 CCR § 9789.11(a) (1) (a) “Treatment Reports Not Separately Reimbursable” Report by a secondary physician to the primary treating physician.
The table below describes the pertinent claim line information.

**DETERMINATION OF ISSUE IN DISPUTE:** Based on the documentation and aforementioned guidelines, reimbursement is not warranted for CPT 99080.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Service: 10/3/2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physician Services</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99080</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Copy to:

Copy to: