INDEPENDENT BILLING REVIEW FINAL DETERMINATION

April 26, 2015

Maximus Federal Services has completed the Independent Bill Review ("IBR") of the above workers’ compensation case. This letter provides you with the IBR Final Determination and explains how the determination was made.

Final Determination: UPHOLD. MAXIMUS Federal Services has determined that no additional reimbursement is warranted. The Claims Administrator’s determination is upheld and the Claim Administrator does not owe the Provider additional reimbursement. A detailed explanation of the decision is provided later in this letter.

The determination of MAXIMUS Federal Services and its expert reviewer is deemed to be the Final Determination of the Administrative Director of the Division of Workers’ Compensation. This determination is binding on all parties. In certain limited circumstances, you can appeal the Final Determination. Appeals must be filed with the Workers’ Compensation Appeals Board within 20 days from the date of this letter. For more information on appealing the final determination, please see California Labor Code Section 4603.6(f).

Sincerely,

Paul Manchester, MD, MPH
Medical Director

cc: [Redacted]
DOCUMENTS REVIEWED

Pertinent documents reviewed to reach the determination:

- The Independent Bill Review Application
- The original billing itemization
- Supporting documents submitted with the original billing
- Explanation of Review in response to the original bill
- Request for Second Bill Review and documentation
- Supporting documents submitted with the request for second review
- The final explanation of the second review
- Official Medical Fee Schedule
- 2014 AMA CPT

HOW THE IBR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services Chief Coding Specialist reviewed the case file and researched pertinent coding and billing standards to reach a determination. In some cases a physician reviewer was employed to review the clinical aspects of the care to help make a determination. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services.

ANALYSIS AND FINDING

Based on review of the case file the following is noted:

- **ISSUE IN DISPUTE:** Provider seeking full remuneration submitted 96101 Psychological Testing x 12 Units & 96116 Neuro Behavioral Exam performed x 2 Units pm 08/19/2014.
- Claims Administrator denied reimbursement based on the following rational: “No separate payment was made because the value of the service is included within the value of another service performed on the same day.”
- **99358, 96101 x 12 Units and 96116 x 2 Units** denied by the Claims Administrator with the following rational: “No separate payment was made because the value of the services is included in the value of another service performed on the same day,” and “The procedure code is inconsistent with the modifier used or required modifier is missing.”
- Pursuant to Title 8 CCR Physician Fee Schedule 1/1/2014, § 9789.12.13 Correct Coding Initiative: (a) The National Correct Coding Initiative Edits (“NCCI”) adopted by the CMS shall apply to payments for medical services under the Physician Fee Schedule. Except where payment ground rules differ from the Medicare ground rules, claims administrators shall apply the NCCI physician coding edits and medically unlikely edits to bills to determine appropriate payment. Claims Administrators shall utilize the National Correct Coding Initiative Coding Policy Manual for Medicare Services. If a billing is reduced or denied reimbursement because of application of the NCCI, the claims administrator must notify the physician or qualified non-physician practitioner of the basis for the denial, including the fact that the determination was made in accordance with the NCCI.
• CMS 1500 form reflects **96101 -93 x 12 units** (line items) billed with Evaluation and Management Code 99205.
• CMS 1500 form reflects **96116 x 2 units** (line items) billed with Evaluation and Management Code 99205.
• Based on the NCCI edits, a code pair exist between CPT 99205 and 96101 as well 99205 and 96116.
• Modifier Indicator column shows ‘1’ which states if a proper modifier is appended to the correct code and documentation supports the use of the procedure code, then the edit may be overridden.
• The correct modifier was not appended to the column 2 code, CPT 96101 or 96116, as such the column 2 code may not be reviewed as a separately identifiable service from its code pair.
• CMS 1500 form reflects Modifier -93 Interpreter Service. Modifier -93 is not a valid code for CPT 96101 and 96116. Valid Modifiers for 96101 and 96116 are: 25, 27, 50, 52, 58, 59, 73, 74, 76, 77, 78, 79, 91 E1, E2, E3, E4, F1, F2, F3, F4, F5, F6, F7, F8, F9, FA, GG, GH, LC, LD, LM, LT, RC, RI, RT, T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, T7, T8, T9, & TA.
• Based on the aforementioned documentation and guidelines, additional reimbursement is not supported for CPT 96101 & 96116.

The table below describes the pertinent claim line information.

### DETERMINATION OF ISSUE IN DISPUTE: 96101 x 12 Units and 96116 x 2 Units

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Service: 08/19/2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physician Services</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Code</th>
<th>Provider Billed</th>
<th>Plan Allowed</th>
<th>Dispute Amount</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Multiple Surgery</th>
<th>Workers’ Comp Allowed Amt.</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>96101-93</td>
<td>$1800.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$1800.00</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>Refer to Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96116-93</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>Refer to Analysis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Copy to:

[Redacted]

[Redacted]