INDEPENDENT BILLING REVIEW FINAL DETERMINATION

January 9, 2015

Dear [Provider Name],

MAXIMUS Federal Services has completed the Independent Bill Review ("IBR") of the above workers’ compensation case. This letter provides you with the IBR Final Determination and explains how the determination was made.

Final Determination: OVERTURN. MAXIMUS Federal Services has determined that additional reimbursement is warranted. The Claims Administrator’s determination is reversed and the Claim Administrator owes the Provider additional reimbursement of $250.00 for the review cost and $312.79 in additional reimbursement for a total of $562.79. A detailed explanation of the decision is provided later in this letter.

The Claim Administrator is required to reimburse the Provider a total of $562.79 within 45 days of the date on this letter per section 4603.2 (2a) of the California Labor Code. The determination of MAXIMUS Federal Services and its expert reviewer is deemed to be the Final Determination of the Administrative Director of the Division of Workers’ Compensation. This determination is binding on all parties. In certain limited circumstances, you can appeal the Final Determination. Appeals must be filed with the Workers’ Compensation Appeals Board within 20 days from the date of this letter. For more information on appealing the final determination, please see California Labor Code Section 4603.6(f).

Sincerely,

[Medical Director]

cc: [Employee Name]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IBR Case Number:</th>
<th>CB14-0001560</th>
<th>Date of Injury:</th>
<th>07/20/2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Claim Number:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Application Received:</td>
<td>10/17/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claims Administrator:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Assignment Date:</td>
<td>11/10/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provider Name:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Employee Name:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disputed Codes:</td>
<td>27340-59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DOCUMENTS REVIEWED

Pertinent documents reviewed to reach the determination:

- The Independent Bill Review Application
- The original billing itemization
- Supporting documents submitted with the original billing
- Explanation of Review in response to the original bill
- Request for Second Bill Review and documentation
- Supporting documents submitted with the request for second review
- The final explanation of the second review
- Official Medical Fee Schedule
- Negotiated contracted rates:
- National Correct Coding Initiatives

HOW THE IBR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services Chief Coding Specialist reviewed the case file and researched pertinent coding and billing standards to reach a determination. In some cases a physician reviewer was employed to review the clinical aspects of the care to help make a determination. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services.

ANALYSIS AND FINDING

Based on review of the case file the following is noted:

- **ISSUE IN DISPUTE:** Provider is dissatisfied with denial of code 27340
- Claims Administrator denied code indicating on the Explanation of Review “Service/item included in the value of other services per CCI edits. Related service could be on a separate bill.”
- Provider billed codes 27340 and 27347 on a CMS 1500 form. Based on the NCCI edits, these two codes are generally not reported together. However, Modifier Indicator column shows ‘1’ which states if an appropriate modifier is appended to the correct CPT code, and documentation is submitted to support the code, then maybe the NCCI edit may be overridden. Provider billed 27340 with modifier -59 which is one of the allowed modifiers for this pair set. Modifier -59 – Distinct Procedural Service.
- On review of the operative report, a separate incision was made for CPT 27340 which is documented as “Attention was then focused on the anterior knee, where a 2 cm incision was made over the prepatellar bursal region.” Documentation supports the separate procedure performed and billed with appropriate modifier.
- Based on information reviewed, reimbursement of CPT 27340 is warranted.
The table below describes the pertinent claim line information.

**DETERMINATION OF ISSUE IN DISPUTE:** Reimbursement of code 27340-59 is recommended.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Service: 6/27/2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physician Service</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27340-59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**National Correct Coding Initiative information:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
<th>Column 1</th>
<th>Column 2</th>
<th>Modifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Copy to:**

[Redacted text]

**Copy to:**

[Redacted text]