Dear [Redacted]

MAXIMUS Federal Services has completed the Independent Bill Review ("IBR") of the above workers’ compensation case. This letter provides you with the IBR Final Determination and explains how the determination was made.

Final Determination: UPHOLD. MAXIMUS Federal Services has determined that no additional reimbursement is warranted. The Claims Administrator’s determination is upheld and the Claim Administrator does not owe the Provider additional reimbursement. A detailed explanation of the decision is provided later in this letter.

The determination of MAXIMUS Federal Services and its expert reviewer is deemed to be the Final Determination of the Administrative Director of the Division of Workers’ Compensation. This determination is binding on all parties. In certain limited circumstances, you can appeal the Final Determination. Appeals must be filed with the Workers’ Compensation Appeals Board within 20 days from the date of this letter. For more information on appealing the final determination, please see California Labor Code Section 4603.6(f).

Sincerely,

[Redacted]

Medical Director

cc: [Redacted]
DOCUMENTS REVIEWED

Pertinent documents reviewed to reach the determination:

- The Independent Bill Review Application
- The original billing itemization
- Supporting documents submitted with the original billing
- Explanation of Review in response to the original bill
- Request for Second Bill Review and documentation
- Supporting documents submitted with the request for second review
- The final explanation of the second review
- Official Medical Fee Schedule
- Negotiated contracted rates:
- National Correct Coding Initiatives

HOW THE IBR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services Chief Coding Specialist reviewed the case file and researched pertinent coding and billing standards to reach a determination. In some cases a physician reviewer was employed to review the clinical aspects of the care to help make a determination. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/services.

ANALYSIS AND FINDING

Based on review of the case file the following is noted:

- **ISSUE IN DISPUTE**: Provider is dissatisfied with down-coding of 99205 to 99203 and reimbursement of lower code.
- Claims Administrator reimbursed $125.39 indicating on the Explanation of Review “The documentation does not support the level of service billed. Reimbursement was made for a code that is supported by the documentation submitted with the billing.”
- CPT 99205 - Office or other outpatient visit for the evaluation and management of a new patient, which requires these 3 key components: A comprehensive history; a comprehensive examination; Medical decision making of high complexity. Counseling and/or coordination of care with other physicians, other qualified health care professionals, or agencies are provided consistent with the nature of the problem(s) and the patient's and/or family's needs. Usually, the presenting problem(s) are of moderate to high severity. Typically, 60 minutes are spent face-to-face with the patient and/or family.
- The comprehensive history is the highest level of history and requires a chief complaint, an extended HPI to include four HPI elements, plus a ten system ROS, and a complete PFSH. The comprehensive physical exam is the highest level of physical exam. The exam should include at least two details from at least nine organ systems. High complexity medical decision-making - the patient would need to have a severe exacerbation of a chronic problem or an acute illness which threatens life or bodily
function to qualify for this level of risk. The data reviewed would have to be quite extensive to reach the threshold for high complexity MDM

- Provider submitted a one (1) page Doctor’s First Report of Work Injury or Illness. This one page report does not document a complex history and exam nor a medical decision making of high complexity.
- Based on information reviewed, Claims Administrator was correct to down-code submitted claim to 99203 as documentation does not support the level of service billed as 99205.

The table below describes the pertinent claim line information.

**DETERMINATION OF ISSUE IN DISPUTE:** Additional reimbursement of code 99205 is not warranted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Service: 5/19/2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physician Services</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99205</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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[Redacted]
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[Redacted]