OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH APPEALS BOARD
2520 Venture Oaks Way, Suite 300
Sacramento, CA 95833
(916) 274-5751
FAX (916) 274-5785

APPEAL FORM

312318348
Inspection Number on Citation

LFP Video Group LLC d/b/a Hustler Video

Employer Name on Citation

Employer Legal Name or DBA (Optional)

8484 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 900

Address
Beverly Hills, CA 90211

Inspection Site:
20932 Osbourne St Canoga Park, CA 91304

FIRST READ IMPORTANT INFORMATION ON THE REVERSE SIDE
THEN COMPLETE ONE APPEAL FORM FOR EACH CITATION

1. This is an Appeal from:
   [ ] CITATION NO(s): Item No(s): 1
   [ ] NOTIFICATION OF FAILURE TO ABATE ALLEGED VIOLATION
      CITATION NO(s): Item No(s): 1
   [ ] SPECIAL ORDER/SPECIAL ACTION NO:
      Item No(s):

2. Specific ground(s) for this appeal are: (Check all that apply)
   [ ] The safety order was not violated.
   [ ] The classification (i.e. serious, willful, repeat) is incorrect.
   [ ] The abatement requirements are unreasonable.
      [ ] Required changes  [ ] Time allowed to complete changes
   [ ] The proposed penalty is unreasonable.

3. Explain any other reasons for appeal or issues to be raised on appeal. Affirmative defenses must be specifically stated.
   Some important affirmative defenses are listed on the OSHAB website at: http://www.dir.ca.gov/OSHAB/oshab.html
   See Addendum #1 attached
A. **GENERAL ISSUES/AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES.**

1. Studies relied upon by CalOSHA with respect to mandating use of condoms are flawed, lack a proper scientific basis, and are scientifically and medically invalid.

2. The cited regulation does not require the Appellant “ensure the use of appropriate personal protective equipment” by anyone at the inspected site.

3. Independent employee action.

4. The Appellant is not a producer of adult videos.

5. The Appellant does not produce adult videos.

6. It is impossible to comply with the regulation given the nature of the alleged “Employer’s” business.

7. No employee of the employer was exposed to the alleged violation.

8. The citation does not identify any part of the inspected site where violations are alleged to have occurred, and at which location employees of Appellant were actually working.

9. The alleged “Employer” acted with due diligence to comply with all regulatory requirements.

10. The Appellant does not employ, and never has employed, employees of Forsaken Pictures.

11. The alleged “Employer” openly supplied and placed appropriate personal protective equipment, specifically condoms, in areas of the inspected site which were used by employees of Forsaken Pictures, for use by all persons who could be working at the inspected site.

12. The alleged “Employer” utilized engineering and work practice controls which
eliminated or minimized exposure to blood and other potentially infectious material.

13. Appellant did not engage in any actions which make it responsible through actual practice for safety and health conditions at those parts of the inspected site which are made available for use by other entities and employees of those other entities.

14. The inspected site is not a multi-employer worksite.

15. The Appellant was not and is not a “multi-employer” of employees of Forsaken Pictures.

16. Appellant has and had at the time of the inspection, and implements and maintains, a written Exposure Control Plan which contains the required elements, including training programs and the Hepatitis B vaccine/vaccination series for its employees who may reasonably be anticipated to have contact with “other potentially infectious materials.”

17. Appellant has and had at the time of the inspection, a written Injury and Illness Prevention Program.

18. Appellant had no actual knowledge, nor with the exercise of reasonable diligence could have known, of the existence of the alleged violation.

19. The alleged “Employer” had no control, direct or indirect, over the actions and/or inactions of persons present at the inspected site who were not employees of LFP Video Group, LLC.

20. The inspected site is partitioned for use by multiple entities, and has separate entrances for ingress/egress by non-Appellant entities.

21. The citation was issued to the wrong alleged “Employer” and/or a non-existing employer.

22. There are fewer than 10 employees of the Appellant at the inspected site.

23. The alleged “Employer” at all times acted in good faith.

24. The alleged “Employer” has no prior violation history.

25. The Division of Occupational Safety and Health does not have jurisdiction over the subject place of employment.

26. The inspection(s) was invalid.

27. The regulation cited is vague and/or ambiguous.
28. The citation does not give notice of the violation and/or is otherwise defective.

29. The citation was not issued timely.

30. An exception to the regulation exempts compliance.

31. There is no mandate for an employee safety committee.

32. The alleged "Employer" reserves the right to plead and present any and all available defenses up to and including the date of any hearing to be conducted in this matter.

B. FIRST AMENDMENT AND PRIVACY RIGHTS VIOLATIONS. The application to the creation of expressive materials, i.e., the creation of non-obscene sexually-oriented films, of the bloodborne pathogen standards designed for the medical industry, and of the Injury and Illness Prevention Program standards designed for construction and other industries, constitutes an unduly broad and inordinately suppressive restriction on the Appellant's right, and the rights of all those working in the adult industry, to associational privacy under the First Amendment and Bursey v. United States, 466 F.2d 1059 (9th Cir. 1972).

C. FIRST AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT VIOLATIONS. The application to the creation of expressive materials, i.e., the creation of non-obscene sexually-oriented films, of the bloodborne pathogen standards designed for the medical industry, and of the Injury and Illness Prevention Program standards designed for construction and other industries, constitutes an unduly broad and inordinately suppressive restriction on the content of constitutionally protected expression in violation of the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution. When applied to the Appellant herein, these standards and restrictions are content based and must survive a strict scrutiny standard. The health protection methods employed by the industry are a less restrictive yet effective means of fulfilling the health goal.

D. FIRST AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT VIOLATIONS. The application to the creation of expressive materials, i.e., the creation of non-obscene sexually-oriented films, of the bloodborne pathogen standards designed for the medical industry and of the Injury and Illness Prevention Program standards designed for construction and other industries, constitutes an unduly broad and inordinately suppressive restriction on the content of constitutionally protected expression in violation of the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution. As applied to the Appellant herein, these standards and restrictions are not valid content-neutral regulations of constitutionally protected expression insofar as they are not narrowly tailored to promote a substantial government interest while leaving open adequate alternative avenues of communication.

E. FEASIBILITY. The Appellant has reasonably evaluated the hazardous condition or operation and reasonably determined that short of not performing the work there is no less hazardous alternative method of accomplishing the task. The industry within which the alleged "Employer" is engaged, uses reasonable and good faith methods, including the
requirement for regular health testing combined with tracking of contacts to identify, quarantine and treat any outbreaks.
4. (Signature of Employer or Employer’s Representative)

{If there is any change in representation after you file your appeal, you must notify the Appeals Board in writing}

Paul J. Cambria, Jr., Esq.

(Type or print name)

Attorney

(Title)

42 Delaware Avenue, Suite 120

(Address) {Address where all communications from the Appeals Board will be sent}

Buffalo New York 14202

(City) (State) (Zip Code)

(716)849-1333 pcambria@lglaw.com 04/06/11

(Telephone) (E-Mail Address) (Date)

{All correspondence from the Appeals Board will be sent to the representative above at the address above. If there is any change in address, telephone number, and/or e-mail address after you file your appeal, you must notify the Appeals Board of the change(s). All such notifications must be in writing}

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

A. Use this form to appeal a Citation, Notification of Failure to Abate Alleged Violation, or Special Order/Special Action.

B. You must complete a separate appeal form for each citation or notification you wish to appeal and attach a copy of the complete citation or notification that you are appealing.

C. If the citation or notification being appealed includes more than one item do not use separate appeals forms for each item. Instead, specify the items you are appealing in the space provided in No. 1 on the front of this form. (for example, “Citation No. 1, Item Nos. 2, 5, and 8)

D. Be sure to sign your appeal form and provide all the information requested in No. 4 above.

E. Your appeal form shall be deemed not completed unless you attach a copy of each citation or notification that you are appealing, and failure to file a completed appeal form may result in dismissal of the appeal.

F. If you or your representative change address, telephone number, and/or e-mail address, it is your responsibility to notify the Appeals Board in writing of the change(s). Otherwise the Appeals Board will continue to use the address it has on file and you risk not receiving notices or other communications from the Appeals Board. Appeals Board regulations make it the employer’s obligation to notify the Appeals Board of any changes to the employer’s and/or representative’s contact information.

G. Mail each completed Appeal form and citation or notification to the Occupational Safety and Health Appeals Board, 2520 Venture Oaks Way, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95833.

H. Late appeals will not be accepted unless good cause is shown.

OSHAB 5/08
1. You only have 15 working days from receipt of a citation to appeal.

2. A copy of this form must be attached to each citation or notification appealed. Failure to file a completed form may result in dismissal of the appeal.

1. This is an Appeal from:
   - [ ] CITATION NO(s): 2 Item No(s): 1
   - [ ] NOTIFICATION OF FAILURE TO ABATE ALLEGED VIOLATION
     CITATION NO(s): Item No(s):
   - [ ] SPECIAL ORDER/SPECIAL ACTION NO: Item No(s):

2. Specific ground(s) for this appeal are: (Check all that apply)
   - [ ] The safety order was not violated.
   - [ ] The classification (i.e. serious, willful, repeat) is incorrect.
   - [ ] The abatement requirements are unreasonable.
     - [ ] Required changes  [ ] Time allowed to complete changes
   - [ ] The proposed penalty is unreasonable.

3. Explain any other reasons for appeal or issues to be raised on appeal. Affirmative defenses must be specifically stated. Some important affirmative defenses are listed on the OSHAB website at: http://www.dir.ca.gov/OSHAB/oshab.html
   See Addendum #1 attached
A. GENERAL ISSUES/AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES.

1. Studies relied upon by Cal/OSHA with respect to mandating use of condoms are flawed, lack a proper scientific basis, and are scientifically and medically invalid.

2. The cited regulation does not require the Appellant “ensure the use of appropriate personal protective equipment” by anyone at the inspected site.

3. Independent employee action.

4. The Appellant is not a producer of adult videos.

5. The Appellant does not produce adult videos.

6. It is impossible to comply with the regulation given the nature of the alleged “Employer’s” business.

7. No employee of the employer was exposed to the alleged violation.

8. The citation does not identify any part of the inspected site where violations are alleged to have occurred, and at which location employees of Appellant were actually working.

9. The alleged “Employer” acted with due diligence to comply with all regulatory requirements.

10. The Appellant does not employ, and never has employed, employees of Forsaken Pictures.

11. The alleged “Employer” openly supplied and placed appropriate personal protective equipment, specifically condoms, in areas of the inspected site which were used by employees of Forsaken Pictures, for use by all persons who could be working at the inspected site.

12. The alleged “Employer” utilized engineering and work practice controls which
eliminated or minimized exposure to blood and other potentially infectious material.

13. Appellant did not engage in any actions which make it responsible through actual practice for safety and health conditions at those parts of the inspected site which are made available for use by other entities and employees of those other entities.

14. The inspected site is not a multi-employer worksite.

15. The Appellant was not and is not a “multi-employer” of employees of Forsaken Pictures.

16. Appellant has and had at the time of the inspection, and implements and maintains, a written Exposure Control Plan which contains the required elements, including training programs and the Hepatitis B vaccine/vaccination series for its employees who may reasonably be anticipated to have contact with “other potentially infectious materials.”

17. Appellant has and had at the time of the inspection, a written Injury and Illness Prevention Program.

18. Appellant had no actual knowledge, nor with the exercise of reasonable diligence could have known, of the existence of the alleged violation.

19. The alleged “Employer” had no control, direct or indirect, over the actions and/or inactions of persons present at the inspected site who were not employees of LFP Video Group, LLC.

20. The inspected site is partitioned for use by multiple entities, and has separate entrances for ingress/egress by non-Appellant entities.

21. The citation was issued to the wrong alleged “Employer” and/or a non-existing employer.

22. There are fewer than 10 employees of the Appellant at the inspected site.

23. The alleged “Employer” at all times acted in good faith.

24. The alleged “Employer” has no prior violation history.

25. The Division of Occupational Safety and Health does not have jurisdiction over the subject place of employment.

26. The inspection(s) was invalid.

27. The regulation cited is vague and/or ambiguous.
28. The citation does not give notice of the violation and/or is otherwise defective.

29. The citation was not issued timely.

30. An exception to the regulation exempts compliance.

31. There is no mandate for an employee safety committee.

32. The alleged "Employer" reserves the right to plead and present any and all available defenses up to and including the date of any hearing to be conducted in this matter.

B. FIRST AMENDMENT AND PRIVACY RIGHTS VIOLATIONS. The application to the creation of expressive materials, i.e., the creation of non-obscene sexually-oriented films, of the bloodborne pathogen standards designed for the medical industry, and of the Injury and Illness Prevention Program standards designed for construction and other industries, constitutes an unduly broad and inordinately suppressive restriction on the Appellant's right, and the rights of all those working in the adult industry, to associational privacy under the First Amendment and Bursey v. United States, 466 F.2d 1059 (9th Cir. 1972).

C. FIRST AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT VIOLATIONS. The application to the creation of expressive materials, i.e., the creation of non-obscene sexually-oriented films, of the bloodborne pathogen standards designed for the medical industry, and of the Injury and Illness Prevention Program standards designed for construction and other industries, constitutes an unduly broad and inordinately suppressive restriction on the content of constitutionally protected expression in violation of the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution. When applied to the Appellant herein, these standards and restrictions are content based and must survive a strict scrutiny standard. The health protection methods employed by the industry are a less restrictive yet effective means of fulfilling the health goal.

D. FIRST AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT VIOLATIONS. The application to the creation of expressive materials, i.e., the creation of non-obscene sexually-oriented films, of the bloodborne pathogen standards designed for the medical industry and of the Injury and Illness Prevention Program standards designed for construction and other industries, constitutes an unduly broad and inordinately suppressive restriction on the content of constitutionally protected expression in violation of the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution. As applied to the Appellant herein, these standards and restrictions are not valid content-neutral regulations of constitutionally protected expression insofar as they are not narrowly tailored to promote a substantial government interest while leaving open adequate alternative avenues of communication.

E. FEASIBILITY. The Appellant has reasonably evaluated the hazardous condition or operation and reasonably determined that short of not performing the work there is no less hazardous alternative method of accomplishing the task. The industry within which the alleged "Employer" is engaged, uses reasonable and good faith methods, including the
requirement for regular health testing combined with tracking of contacts to identify, quarantine and treat any outbreaks.
4.  
(Signature of Employer or Employer’s Representative)

{If there is any change in representation after you file your appeal, you must notify the Appeals Board in writing} 

Paul J. Cambria, Jr., Esq.
(Type or print name)
Attorney

(Title)
42 Delaware Avenue, Suite 120
(Address) {Address where all communications from the Appeals Board will be sent}
Buffalo New York 14202
(City) (State) (Zip Code)
(716)849-1333 pcambria@lglaw.com 04/06/11
(Telephone) (E-Mail Address) (Date)

{All correspondence from the Appeals Board will be sent to the representative above at the address above. If there is any change in address, telephone number, and/or e-mail address after you file your appeal, you must notify the Appeals Board of the change(s). All such notifications must be in writing} 

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

A. Use this form to appeal a Citation, Notification of Failure to Abate Alleged Violation, or Special Order/Special Action.

B. You must complete a separate appeal form for each citation or notification you wish to appeal and attach a copy of the complete citation or notification that you are appealing.

C. If the citation or notification being appealed includes more than one item do not use separate appeals forms for each item. Instead, specify the items you are appealing in the space provided in No. 1 on the front of this form. (for example, “Citation No. 1, Item Nos. 2, 5, and 8)

D. Be sure to sign your appeal form and provide all the information requested in No. 4 above.

E. Your appeal form shall be deemed not completed unless you attach a copy of each citation or notification that you are appealing, and failure to file a completed appeal form may result in dismissal of the appeal.

F. If you or your representative change address, telephone number, and/or e-mail address, it is your responsibility to notify the Appeals Board in writing of the change(s). Otherwise the Appeals Board will continue to use the address it has on file and you risk not receiving notices or other communications from the Appeals Board. Appeals Board regulations make it the employer’s obligation to notify the Appeals Board of any changes to the employer’s and/or representative’s contact information.

G. Mail each completed Appeal form and citation or notification to the Occupational Safety and Health Appeals Board, 2520 Venture Oaks Way, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95833.

H. Late appeals will not be accepted unless good cause is shown.

OSHAB 5/08
1. This is an Appeal from:

[✓] CITATION NO(s): 3 Item No(s): 1

[ ] NOTIFICATION OF FAILURE TO ABATE ALLEGED VIOLATION

CITATION NO(s): Item No(s):

[ ] SPECIAL ORDER/SPECIAL ACTION NO:

Item No(s):

2. Specific ground(s) for this appeal are: (Check all that apply)

[✓] The safety order was not violated.

[✓] The classification (i.e. serious, willful, repeat) is incorrect.

[✓] The abatement requirements are unreasonable.

[✓] Required changes  [✓] Time allowed to complete changes

[✓] The proposed penalty is unreasonable.

3. Explain any other reasons for appeal or issues to be raised on appeal. Affirmative defenses must be specifically stated. Some important affirmative defenses are listed on the OSHAB website at: http://www.dir.ca.gov/OSHAB/oshab.html

See Addendum #1 attached
A. GENERAL ISSUES/AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES.

1. Studies relied upon by Cal/OSHA with respect to mandating use of condoms are flawed, lack a proper scientific basis, and are scientifically and medically invalid.

2. The cited regulation does not require the Appellant “ensure the use of appropriate personal protective equipment” by anyone at the inspected site.

3. Independent employee action.

4. The Appellant is not a producer of adult videos.

5. The Appellant does not produce adult videos.

6. It is impossible to comply with the regulation given the nature of the alleged “Employer’s” business.

7. No employee of the employer was exposed to the alleged violation.

8. The citation does not identify any part of the inspected site where violations are alleged to have occurred, and at which location employees of Appellant were actually working.

9. The alleged “Employer” acted with due diligence to comply with all regulatory requirements.

10. The Appellant does not employ, and never has employed, employees of Forsaken Pictures.

11. The alleged “Employer” openly supplied and placed appropriate personal protective equipment, specifically condoms, in areas of the inspected site which were used by employees of Forsaken Pictures, for use by all persons who could be working at the inspected site.

12. The alleged “Employer” utilized engineering and work practice controls which
eliminated or minimized exposure to blood and other potentially infectious material.

13. Appellant did not engage in any actions which make it responsible through actual practice for safety and health conditions at those parts of the inspected site which are made available for use by other entities and employees of those other entities.

14. The inspected site is not a multi-employer worksite.

15. The Appellant was not and is not a “multi-employer” of employees of Forsaken Pictures.

16. Appellant has and had at the time of the inspection, and implements and maintains, a written Exposure Control Plan which contains the required elements, including training programs and the Hepatitis B vaccine/vaccination series for its employees who may reasonably be anticipated to have contact with “other potentially infectious materials.”

17. Appellant has and had at the time of the inspection, a written Injury and Illness Prevention Program.

18. Appellant had no actual knowledge, nor with the exercise of reasonable diligence could have known, of the existence of the alleged violation.

19. The alleged “Employer” had no control, direct or indirect, over the actions and/or inactions of persons present at the inspected site who were not employees of LFP Video Group, LLC.

20. The inspected site is partitioned for use by multiple entities, and has separate entrances for ingress/egress by non-Appellant entities.

21. The citation was issued to the wrong alleged “Employer” and/or a non-existing employer.

22. There are fewer than 10 employees of the Appellant at the inspected site.

23. The alleged “Employer” at all times acted in good faith.

24. The alleged “Employer” has no prior violation history.

25. The Division of Occupational Safety and Health does not have jurisdiction over the subject place of employment.

26. The inspection(s) was invalid.

27. The regulation cited is vague and/or ambiguous.
28. The citation does not give notice of the violation and/or is otherwise defective.

29. The citation was not issued timely.

30. An exception to the regulation exempts compliance.

31. There is no mandate for an employee safety committee.

32. The alleged “Employer” reserves the right to plead and present any and all available defenses up to and including the date of any hearing to be conducted in this matter.

B. FIRST AMENDMENT AND PRIVACY RIGHTS VIOLATIONS. The application to the creation of expressive materials, i.e., the creation of non-obscene sexually-oriented films, of the bloodborne pathogen standards designed for the medical industry, and of the Injury and Illness Prevention Program standards designed for construction and other industries, constitutes an unduly broad and inordinately suppressive restriction on the Appellant’s right, and the rights of all those working in the adult industry, to associational privacy under the First Amendment and Bursey v. United States, 466 F.2d 1059 (9th Cir. 1972).

C. FIRST AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT VIOLATIONS. The application to the creation of expressive materials, i.e., the creation of non-obscene sexually-oriented films, of the bloodborne pathogen standards designed for the medical industry, and of the Injury and Illness Prevention Program standards designed for construction and other industries, constitutes an unduly broad and inordinately suppressive restriction on the content of constitutionally protected expression in violation of the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution. When applied to the Appellant herein, these standards and restrictions are content based and must survive a strict scrutiny standard. The health protection methods employed by the industry are a less restrictive yet effective means of fulfilling the health goal.

D. FIRST AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT VIOLATIONS. The application to the creation of expressive materials, i.e., the creation of non-obscene sexually-oriented films, of the bloodborne pathogen standards designed for the medical industry and of the Injury and Illness Prevention Program standards designed for construction and other industries, constitutes an unduly broad and inordinately suppressive restriction on the content of constitutionally protected expression in violation of the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution. As applied to the Appellant herein, these standards and restrictions are not valid content-neutral regulations of constitutionally protected expression insofar as they are not narrowly tailored to promote a substantial government interest while leaving open adequate alternative avenues of communication.

E. FEASIBILITY. The Appellant has reasonably evaluated the hazardous condition or operation and reasonably determined that short of not performing the work there is no less hazardous alternative method of accomplishing the task. The industry within which the alleged “Employer” is engaged, uses reasonable and good faith methods, including the
requirement for regular health testing combined with tracking of contacts to identify, quarantine and treat any outbreaks.
4. (Signature of Employer or Employer's Representative)  
If there is any change in representation after you file your appeal, you must notify the Appeals Board in writing.

Paul J. Cambria, Jr., Esq.  
(Type or print name)  
Attorney  
(Title)  
42 Delaware Avenue, Suite 120  
(Address)  
{Address where all communications from the Appeals Board will be sent}  
Buffalo New York 14202  
(City)  
(State)  
(Zip Code)  
(Telephone)  
(E-Mail Address)  
(04/06/11)  
(Date)  

{All correspondence from the Appeals Board will be sent to the representative above at the address above. If there is any change in address, telephone number, and/or e-mail address after you file your appeal, you must notify the Appeals Board of the change(s). All such notifications must be in writing.}

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

A. Use this form to appeal a Citation, Notification of Failure to Abate Alleged Violation, or Special Order/Special Action.

B. You must complete a separate appeal form for each citation or notification you wish to appeal and attach a copy of the complete citation or notification that you are appealing.

C. If the citation or notification being appealed includes more than one item do not use separate appeals forms for each item. Instead, specify the items you are appealing in the space provided in No. 1 on the front of this form. (for example, “Citation No. 1, Item Nos. 2, 5, and 8”)

D. Be sure to sign your appeal form and provide all the information requested in No. 4 above.

E. Your appeal form shall be deemed not completed unless you attach a copy of each citation or notification that you are appealing, and failure to file a completed appeal form may result in dismissal of the appeal.

F. If you or your representative change address, telephone number, and/or e-mail address, it is your responsibility to notify the Appeals Board in writing of the change(s). Otherwise the Appeals Board will continue to use the address it has on file and you risk not receiving notices or other communications from the Appeals Board. Appeals Board regulations make it the employer’s obligation to notify the Appeals Board of any changes to the employer’s and/or representative’s contact information.

G. Mail each completed Appeal form and citation or notification to the Occupational Safety and Health Appeals Board, 2520 Venture Oaks Way, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95833.

H. Late appeals will not be accepted unless good cause is shown.

OSHAB 5/08