LIATE OF CAUFOENIA

DEPART VENT OF INDUSTRIAL PERAILORS DIVISION OF LADON STANDARDS ENFORCEMENT 525 CONDITI GATE NO THE AN FRAINISCO, CALIFORNIA 941

(415) 557-3827

November 17, 1986

ADDRESS REPLY TO: P.O. BOX 603 San Francisco, CA 94101

IN REPLY REFER TO:

Ur. Vic Biondi Emecutive Director California Braddoasters Association 1127 - 11th Street, Suito 720 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Biondi:

Thank you for your letter of October 21, 1986, regarding payment of vacation pay to employees on a draw plus commissions under the rocent Sussez decision.

The Labor Code and the <u>Suaster</u> decision permit employers toestablish the amount of vacation and control the time when vacation may be taken. In letermining the amount of vacation in the context of a commissioned employee, the employer may limit the vacation pay to the amount of the dra , or various combinations of draw plus percentages of commissions.

In most instances, when a salesperson is on a draw plus commission, that person receives the draw during the vacation period and any previously earned comissions that become due during the vacation period. This arrangment may lead to a dip in the earnings a few weeks late: as the salesperson does not generate any new commissions during the vacation period. Salespersons usually realize that when they are on vacation, they cannot service their accounts and must rely on backup assistance or repeat orders during their vacation.

It is very rare that an employer would average out commissions for the period prior to the vacation and pay this amount during the vacation period. However, as the <u>Suastez</u> decision permits employers to set the mount of vacation, there could be numerous combinations of draws and commissions available as a basis for setting a vacation policy.

To answer your specific question regarding how the Division would compute pro rata vacation, the Division would look to the basic vacation policy or agreement and require the employer to pro-rate on that basis. For example, if the policy is to pay

DLSE 905

Mr. Vic Biondi Pace 2 November 17, 1986

only a draw during the vacation period, the pro rata share of the draw would be required to be paid. It should be noted that the employee, under the basic wage laws, would be entitled to any commissions that ecome due and payable during the vacation period regardless of the <u>Suastez</u> decision and/or the employer's vacation policy.

I would su jest that you advise the employers to review their vacation policies covering salespersons to make sure that in cases of a termination that the agreement is specific as to now the amount of vacation is computed (consistent, of course, with the principles of <u>Suastez</u>). If terminated, the employee will be entitled to a pro rata share of that amount.

I hope this answers your questions. If not, please let me know.

Very truly yours,

Lloyd W. Aubry, Jr. State Labor Commissioner

LNA:ba