



ADDRESS REPLY TO:
California Apprenticeship Council
P. O. Box 420603
San Francisco, CA 94142-0603

**Ad Hoc Committee to
“Consider Federal Re-recognition of California Apprenticeship.”**

Chairperson Paul VonBerg
Best Western Lighthouse-Pacifica
105 Rockaway Beach Ave. Pacifica, CA 94044

Wednesday, January 27, 2016
3:30 P.M. – 4:30 P.M.

Wednesday – January 27, 2016 3:30 P.M.

I. Call To Order/ Roll Call

Acting Chairperson Jack Buckhorn opened the meeting opened at 3:34 P.M. Chairman Paul Von Berg was not present.

Members present: Pat McGinn, Susan Anderson, Carl Goff, Frank Quintero, Jim Hussey, Jack Buckhorn, Paul Von Berg and DAS Chief Diane Ravnik

A quorum was met.

Members absent: Paul Von Berg

II. Committee Introductions

At the October 2015 California Apprenticeship Council meeting a motion and a second were made to establish an Ad Hoc regarding the re-affiliation/recognition of the State of California with the Federal DOL/OA and come back with a recommendation to the council.

Since we are the largest Apprenticeship training model in the United States the re-affiliation/recognition of the State of California with the Federal DOL/OA would make a huge impact. It has been over 10 years ago since the state of California was deregistered.

III. Background

DAS Deputy Chief Glen Forman gave a background on issue. There was legislation that started in 1994 that created a Needs Statue in the regulations. Following that the regulations that were submitted in 1995 212.2(G) that sets forth the need issue.

- Federal Deregistration proceeding started 2002
- Deregistration and DIR Appeal filed 2004
- DIR loses Appeal on need issue and succeed on pre-approval of Legislation and Regulations. 2007

- Federal Office of Apprenticeship registers most of State Apprenticeship standards 2007

How has De-registration affected Programs?

- Programs
 - Maintain State and Federal Standards
 - Register Apprentices with the DAS and Federal Office of Apprenticeship
- State
 - No longer have reporting requirements to the Office of Apprenticeship
 - DAS does no longer do Cal-Plan (EEO) reviews (prop 209)
 -

Issues with Re-recognition

- Need issue
- Reciprocal approval for Federal purposes to apprentices, apprenticeship programs and standards that are registered in other States by the Office of Apprenticeship or a Registration Agency if such reciprocity is requested by the apprenticeship program sponsor. Program sponsors seeking reciprocal approval must meet the wage and hour provisions and apprentice ratio standards of the reciprocal State.

There was a lively discussion from the public regarding the pros and cons of being re-recognized. It was requested that a federal representative from Office of Apprenticeship (OA) be present to answer questions. The Needs Statue was a concern and it was suggested that they adopt the need standard.

- A motion was made and seconded to not to re-seek recognition. That Motion failed.

Acting Chairperson Jack Buckhorn asked for everyone to put some thought into the Pros and Cons of considering Federal Re-recognition of California Apprenticeship and submit a list of questions that they would like addressed at the next meeting when a Rick Davis or Patricia Garcia a Federal representative is available to answer questions. Another Ad Hoc Committee to “Consider Federal Re-recognition of California Apprenticeship” will be scheduled for the July CAC meeting. The primary focus will be how this could benefit California apprenticeship program sponsors and the California Apprenticeship system.

IV. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 4:00 p.m.