

Calendar Year: 2009

Performance Rating of Investigation of a Utilization Review Organization

Investigation No: URO-026-09-R

Utilization Review Organization: York Risk Services Group, aka WellComp Managed Care
(formerly dba Southern California Risk Management Services [SCRMA])

Location: Riverside, CA

Number of Requests for Authorization:

Prospective	<u>55</u>
Concurrent	<u>0</u>
Retrospective	<u>1</u>

Decisions by Type:

Approval	<u>40</u>
Modification	<u>4</u>
Delay	<u>6</u>
Denial	<u>6</u>

1. FACTOR FOR UNTIMELY RESPONSE TO REQUEST

# late prospective responses	8	divide by # of prospective requests	55	
# late concurrent responses	0	divide by # of concurrent requests	0	
# late retrospective responses	<u>0</u>	divide by # of retrospective requests	<u>1</u>	
Totals	8	divide by	Totals	56
				=
				0.14286

2. FACTOR FOR FAULTY NOTICE CONTENT

# faulty prospective responses	11	divide by # of prospective requests	55	
# faulty concurrent responses	0	divide by # of concurrent requests	0	
# faulty retrospective responses	<u>0</u>	divide by # of retrospective requests	<u>1</u>	
Totals	11	divide by	Totals	56
				=
				0.19643

3. FACTOR FOR IMPROPER DISTRIBUTION OF NOTICE

# prospective w/ improper distribution	0	divide by # of prospective requests	55	
# concurrent w/ improper distribution	0	divide by # of concurrent requests	0	
# retrospective w/ improper distribution	<u>0</u>	divide by # of retrospective requests	<u>1</u>	
Totals	0		Totals	56
				=
				0.00000

UTILIZATION REVIEW PERFORMANCE RATING

A Utilization Review Performance Rating of **0.85000** or greater is a passing score.

88.7%

Analysis of Penalties and/or Violations Cited for Utilization Review Investigation

Investigation No.: URO-026-09-R

Utilization Review Organization: York Risk Services Group, aka WellComp Managed Care
(formerly dba Southern California Risk Management Services [SCRMA])

Location: Riverside, CA

Type of Violation	Violation of Title 8, CCR §9792.12	Footnotes	# of Violations	Total \$ Violations Identified	*\$ Not Subject to Assessment	Total \$ Subject to Assessment
Failure to provide timely notice to all parties of need to extend decision date for request.	§9792.12 (b)(4)(A)		0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Failure to document efforts to obtain information from requesting party prior to denying request.	§9792.12 (b)(4)(B)		0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Failure to make and communicate a decision to approve, modify or deny a prospective/concurrent request within 5 days of receiving needed information.	§9792.12 (b)(4)(C)		2	\$200	\$200	\$0
Failure to issue timely retrospective decision within 30 days of receipt of requested information.	§9792.12 (b)(4)(D)		0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Incomplete notice of modification, delay or denial.	§9792.12 (b)(4)(E)		11	\$1,100	\$1,100	\$0
Failure to provide UR criteria/guidelines when requested by patient.	§9792.12 (b)(4)(F)		0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Failure to make a timely request for additional information needed for decision for prospective/concurrent request.	§9792.12 (b)(5)(A)		0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Failure to provide timely initial communication of approval for a prospective/concurrent request.	§9792.12 (b)(5)(B)		0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Failure to provide timely notice to all parties of decision to modify, delay, or deny a prospective/concurrent request.	§9792.12 (b)(5)(C)		0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Failure to provide timely notice to all parties decision for a retrospective request.	§9792.12 (b)(5)(D)		0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Failure to immediately notify the requesting party that decision cannot be made within timeframes.	§9792.12 (b)(5)(E)		0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Failure to document need/basis to delay decision.	§9792.12 (b)(5)(F)		0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Failure to provide in written notice the reason for delay in making a decision.	§9792.12 (b)(5)(G)		2	\$100	\$100	\$0
Absent a time extension, failure to make a timely decision for a prospective/concurrent request.	§9792.9 (b)(1)		6	N/A	N/A	\$0
Absent a time extension, failure to provide a timely written notice of decision for a prospective/concurrent request.	§9792.9 (b)(3)		0	N/A	N/A	\$0
Absent a time extension, failure to provide initial notice for modification, delay or denial of a prospective/concurrent request.	§9792.9 (b)(4)		0	N/A	N/A	\$0
TOTAL			21	\$1,400	\$1,400	\$0

*Penalties for violations in 8 CCR § 9792.12(a) are mandatory and cannot be waived but may be mitigated depending on factors in § 9792.13. Penalties under § 9792.12(b)(4) and (b)(5) may be waived per § 9792.12(b)(2) and mitigated per § 9792.13.