		F
1	DIVISION OF LABOR STANDARDS ENF Department of Industrial Relations State of California	ORCEMENT
3	By: Johanna Y. Hsu, SBN 164247 605 W. Santa Ana Blvd.	
4	Bldg. 28, Room 625 Santa Ana, California 92701 (714) 558-4914	
5	(714) 558-4914	:
6	Attorneys for the State Labor Commissioner	
7	Automeys for the State Labor Commissioner	
8	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT	OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS
9	DIVISION OF LABOR STA	
10	STATE LABOR (	
11		
12	In re the DEBARMENT	Case No. SC 5518
13	proceeding against:	Assigned for All Purposes to the
14	) CARL RAY RAMOS, Individually dba	Honorable Elliot S. Beckelman, Hearing Officer
15	RAMOS PAINTING, a Sole Proprietorship,)	
16	Respondent.	Decision and ORDER OF DEBARMENT of Respondent from Public Works Projects
17		[Labor Code section 1777.1]
18		
19		
20		ecision of Hearing Officer Elliot S. Beckelman,
21	-	MOS, an Individual dba RAMOS PAINTING,
.22	a Sole Proprietorship, from bidding, being awarded or performing any work on public works	
23		
24		
25		
26	111	
27	111	
28		1
	Decision and ORDER of DEBARMENT	Recycled Paper

e.

i

ţ		· · ·	
	1	projects in the State of California for ONE YEAR, is hereby adopted in full by the Division	
	2	of Labor Standards Enforcement as the FINAL Decision in the above-captioned matter.	
	3		
	4	This Decision shall become effective 45 days from the execution of the Order below.	
	5		
	6.	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
	7		
	8	4 <b></b>	
	9	Dated: Sept. 17, 2014 DIVISION OF LABOR STANDARDS ENFORCEMENT	
	10	Department of Industrial Relations State of California	
	1:1		
	12	By: fulie for	
	13	JULIEA. SU State Labor Commissioner	
	14		
	15		
	16		:
	17		
	18		
	19		
	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
	25		
	26		:
	27		
	28	2	
		Decision and ORDER of DEBARMENT Recycled Paper	

1	PROOF OF SERVICE		
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
3	COUNTY OF FRESNO ) ss.		
4	I am employed in the County of Fresno, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is DIVISION OF LABOR STANDARDS		
5 6	ENFORCEMENT, Department of Industrial Relations, 770 E. Shaw Avenue, Suite 222, Fresno, California 93710.		
7	On September 17, 2014, I served the following document(s) as described below:		
8	DECISION AND ORDER OF DEBARMENT OF RESPONDENTS FROM PUBLIC WORKS PROJECTS		
10	the original(s)		
11	$\mathbf{v}$		
12	$\mathbf{X}$ true and correct copy(s) thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed as follows:		
13	See attached "Service List"		
14 15	[XX] BY MAIL: I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service and said correspondence is deposited with the United States Postal Service the same day.		
16 17	[ ] BY FACSIMILE: I sent a copy of said document(s) by fax machine for instantaneous transmittal via telephone line to the offices of addressee(s) listed above using the below-listed facsimile number(s).		
18	[ ] BY PERSONAL SERVICE: I delivered a copy of said document(s) to the party(s) set forth above.		
19 20	[ ] FEDERAL EXPRESS. Next Day Delivery. I deposited or delivered to a courier or driver authorized by FedEx to receive documents, in the county of Fresno for overnight (next day) delivery, a true copy of the foregoing document(s) in a sealed envelope with		
21	fees provided for.		
22	[ ] BY CERTIFIED MAIL.		
23	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.		
24 24	Executed on September 17, 2014, at Fresno, California.		
25 26			
26 27			
27	Christina Othon		
28			

.

1	<u>In re CARL RAY RAMOS, Individually dba RAMOS PAINTING, a Sole Partnership</u> SAC Case No. 5518		
3	SERVICE LIST		
4	<u>BENYICE LIDI</u>		
5	Catlos Ray Ramos	vid D. Cross	
6	Ramos Painting DIR	R - DLSE - LEGAL SECTION	
7	Paso Robles, California 93447 Sac	1 Howe Avenue, Suite 100 gramento, California 95825	
8			
9 10		· · ·	
10		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
11			
12			
14	e		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		. ·	
STATE OF CALIFORNIA	PROOF OF SER	VICE	

1	DIVISION OF LABOR STANDARDS ENFORCEMENT Department of Industrial Relations State of California		
3	BY: ELLIOT S. BECKELMAN, SBN 139129		
4	455 Golden Gate Avenue, 9 <sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, CA 94102		
5	Telephone No. (415) 703-4877 Faosimile No. (415) 703-4806		
б	Attorney for the Labor Commissioner on Behalf of		
7	Plaintiff/Respondent		
8	BEFORE THE DIVISION OF LABOR STANDARDS ENFORCEMENT		
<u>9</u>		FINDUSTRIAL RELATIONS	
10		•	
11	FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
12	In the Matter of the	Case No. SC 5518	
13	Debarment Proceedings Against		
14	•	PROPOSED STATEMENT OF DECISION RE DEPARMENT OF RESPONDENT	
15	CARL RAY RAMOS, INDIVIDUALLY, DBA RAMOS PAINTING, A SOLE	FROM PUBLIC WORKS PROJECTS (Lab. Code § 1777.1)	
16	PROPRIETORSHIP		
17	Respondents.		
18			
19	Debarment proceedings pursuant to I	abor Code section 1777.1 were initiated by the Division	
20	of Labor Standards Enforcement, Labor Cor	nmissioner, Department of Industrial Relations, State of	
21	California ("DLSE" or "Labor Commissioner") by the filing and service of a Statement of Alleged		
22	Violations against the following named respo	ondents, CARL RAY RAMOS, INDIVIDUALLY, DBA	
23	RAMOS PAINTING, A SOLE PROPRIETORSHIP ( "Respondents").		
24	The debarment hearing on the alleged violations was held on July 29, 2014, at the San		
25	Francisco Office of the Labor Commissioner. Elliot S. Beckelman served as Hearing Officer. David		
26	D. Cross appeared on behalf of Complainant. None of Respondents appeared for hearing. Present as		
27	a witness for Complainant Labor Commissioner was Deputy Labor Commissioner Sherry Gentry		
28	("Senior Deputy Gentry" or "Gentry").		
RTMERT L'RELATIONS	1		

DREARTMENT OF INDUSTMAL RELAYJONS DIVENSION OF LANOR STANDARDS ERFORCEMENT LECAN, UNIT

÷

The heating was tape recorded. Senior Deputy Gentry testified under oath. Exhibits 1 - 10 were admitted into evidence. At the conclusion of the hearing, the matter was taken under 2 submission. 3

## **FINDINGS OF FACT**

## I. NOTICE

1. Respondent CARL RAY RAMOS was at all relevant times mentioned a contractor licensed by the Contractors State License Board under license number 753575. (Exhibit 1)

2. Respondent CARL RAY RAMOS was listed at all relevant times at the sole owner of Ramos Painting with the Contractors State License Board. (Exhibit 1)

3. The Hearing Officer finds Respondents received lawful notice of the July 29, 2014 10 hearing. The proof of service for the Notice of Hearing ("Notice") and Statement of Alleged 11 Violations ("Statement") was admitted into evidence as Exhibit 9 and shows service was complete 12 on June 10, 2014. California Code of Regulations, Title 8, section 16801(a)(2)(A) provides "Notice 13 of hearing and Statement of Alleged Violations shall be complete when mailed by first class postage 14 to the last address of record that Respondent listed with the Sate Contractors License Board." The 15 mailing address for the *Notice* and *Statement* were the same address Respondents provided to 16 Contractors State License Board ("CSLB") for the relevant time periods. (Exhibit 1) In addition, the 17 Notice and Statement were sent by certified mail to the same address, and the certified mail receipt 18 was signed by Respondent CARL RAY RAMOS on June 26, 2014. (Exhibit 10) Lastly, DLSE 19 attorney David D. Cross, testified, although not sworn under penalty of perjury, that he spoke with 20Respondent CARL RAY RAMOS who told Cross he had received the notices and was not going to 21show at the hearing. 22

23

1

4

5

б

7

8

9

24

25

26

27

28

## **II. THE MATTER OF RERPORTING CERTIFIED PAYROLL RECORDS**

1. Senior Deputy Gentry testified she spoke with Victoria Shockley ("Deputy Shockley"), the Deputy Labor Commissioner in DLSE's Public Works Unit who was in charge of the investigation of Respondents. Deputy Shockley worked in the DLSE Bakersfield office, and was under Gentry's supervision. Gentry testified she reviewed the records of the file on this case that

DEFARMENT TRIAL RELATIONS IVISION OF LABOR NORSENES

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

were kept in the regular course of business at the DLSE office. The Hearing Officer finds Senior Deputy Gentry's testimony credible and competent and testified to the following.

Respondents were at all relevant times a subcontractor on the Hollister Fire Station #
 1 job in San Benito County, California, pursuant to a public works project awarded by the City of Hollister. (Exhibit 6)

3. The prime contractor to the above-referenced public works project was Green Valley
 Corporation dba Barry Swenson Builders. (Exhlbit 2, 3, 5, 6, 7)

4. On May 8, 2012, Deputy Shockley sent a *Request for Payroll Records* ("*Request*") by certified mail to Respondents. The *Request* is authorized by Labor Code section 1776. The *Request* sought certified payroll records for the above public works project, and notified Respondent that failure to comply could subject Respondent to civil penalties and debarment. (Exhibit 2)

12 5. The proof of service for the *Request of Payroll Records* shows Respondent CARL
13 RAY RAMOS signed the certified receipt on May 18, 2012. (Exhibit 3)

Senior Deputy Gentry testified the Bakersfield DLSE office has no indication that any
 certified records were received or that Respondents sent the records.

7. Senior Deputy Gentry testified she reviewed the "900 notes" maintained by Deputy
 Shockley which show she did not receive the records from Respondents. Gentry testified "900 notes" are used by public works deputies to record the chronology of all significant events in a case.

8. Senior Deputy Gentry authenticated the records that were sent by DLSE to
 Respondent that concern certified payroll records and that no records were received in response to
 the following documents that were sent: Notice of Impending Debarment dated July 9, 2012 (Exhibit
 4), Civil Wage and Penalty Assessment dated July 9, 2012 (Exhibit 5), Amended Civil Wage and
 Penalty Assessment dated July 26, 2012 (Exhibit 7) and Request that Clerk Enter Judgment and
 Judgment on the Final Civil and Wage Penalty Assessment dated March 4, 2013 (Exhibit 8).

9. Senior Deputy Gentry testified the DLSE communicated with the Prime Contractor
who reported he did not receive certified payroll records from the Respondent.

27 10. Senior Deputy Gentry testified this was the first instance of Respondent failing to
28 provide certified payroll records.

3

DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL HREATIONS DIVISION OF LABOR STANDARDS ENFORCEMENT LEGGAL UNIT

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

1			
2	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
3	Labor Code section 177.1 provides in pertinent part:		
4	(c) Whenever a contractor or subcontractor performing a public works project has		
.5	failed to provide a timely response to a request by the Division of Labor Standards Enforcement, the Division of Apprenticeship Standards, or the awarding body to		
6	produce certified payroll records pursuant to Section 1776, the Labor Commissioner		
7	shall notify the contractor or subcontractor that, in addition to any other penalties provided by law, the contractor or subcontractor will be subject to debarment under		
8	this section if the certified patrol records are not produced within 30 days after receipt of the written notice. If the commissioner finds that the contractor or subcontractor		
9	has failed to comply with Section 1776 by that deadline, unless the commissioner finds that the failure to comply was due to circumstances outside the contractor's or subcontractor's control, the contractor or subcontractor or a firm, corporation,		
10 11	partnership, or association in which the contractor or subcontractor has any interest is		
11	incligible for a period of not less than one year and not more than three years to do either of the following:		
12	(1) Bid on or be awarded a contract for a public works project.		
1:5 1:4	(2) Perform work as a subcontractor or a public works project.		
15	The credible and unrefuted evidence at the hearing was that Respondent failed to timely		
16	respond to the request by the DLSE for certified payroll records. In fact, Respondent has not		
17	provided any records at all. There were no circumstances outside of Respondent's control to justify		
1.8	or explain this non-response.		
19	The DLSE has requested debarment for one-year because this is the first instance of non-		
20	compliance with a request for certified payroll records. "Although debarment can have a severe		
21	economic impact on contractors, it 'is not intended as punishment. It is, instead, a necessary means		
22	to enable the contracting governmental agency to deal with irresponsible bidders and contractors,		
23	and to administer its duties with efficiency." (Southern California Underground Contractors, Inc. v.		
24	City of San Diego (2003) 108 Cal.App.4 <sup>th</sup> 533, 542.)		
25	ORDER OF DEBARMENT		
26	In accordance with the foregoing, it is hereby ordered Respondent CARL RAY RAMOS,		
27	INDIVIDUALLY, DBA RAMOS PAINITING, A SOLE PROPRIETORSHIP, CSLB # 753575		
-28	shall be ineligible to, and shall not, bid on or be awarded a contract for a public works project, and		
TMENT	4		

shall not perform as a subcontractor on a public work as defined by the Labor Code sections 1720, 1720.2 and 1720.3, for a period of one (1) year, effective 45 days after this decision is issued by the Labor Commissioner. A one-year debarment is appropriate where Respondent violated Labor Code section 1777.1(c) by failing to comply at all to requests for certified payroll records by DLSE.

Dated: September \_\_\_\_\_ 2014

ELLIOT S. BECKELMAN Hearing Officer

DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTILLE HELATIONS DIVISION OF LANDS STANDARDS ENFORCEMENT LIQUAL/UNIT

1	PROOF OF SERVICE		
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA )		
3	) ss.		
4 5 6	I am employed in the County of Fresno, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is DIVISION OF LABOR STANDARDS ENFORCEMENT, Department of Industrial Relations, 770 E. Shaw Avenue, Suite 222, Fresno, California 93710.		
7	On September 17, 2014, I served the following document(s) as described below:		
8	PROPOSED STATEMENT OF DECISION RE DEBARMENT OF RESPONDENTS FROM PUBLIC WORKS PROJECTS		
10	the original(s)		
11	$\mathbf{X}$ true and correct conv(s) thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed as follows:		
12			
13	See attached "Service List"		
14 15	[XX] BY MAIL: I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service and said correspondence is deposited with the United States Postal Service the same day.		
16 17	[ ] BY FACSIMILE: I sent a copy of said document(s) by fax machine for instantaneous transmittal via telephone line to the offices of addressee(s) listed above using the below-listed facsimile number(s).		
18	[ ] BY PERSONAL SERVICE: I delivered a copy of said document(s) to the party(s) set forth above.		
19 20	[ ] FEDERAL EXPRESS. Next Day Delivery. I deposited or delivered to a courier or driver authorized by FedEx to receive documents, in the county of Fresno for overnight (next day) delivery, a true copy of the foregoing document(s) in a sealed envelope with		
21	fees provided for.		
22	[ ] BY CERTIFIED MAIL.		
23	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.		
24			
25	Executed on September 17, 2014, at Fresno, California.		
26			
27	Christina Othon		
28			

7

1	In re CARL RAY RAMOS, Indiy a Sole Participation Sole Participation Sole Participation Sole Construction Sole Constructin Sole Construction Sole Construction Sole Constructio	<u>vidually dba RAMOS PAINTING, artnership</u> se No. 5518
- 3		
. 4	SERVI	<u>CE LIST</u>
5		
6	Carlos Ray Ramos Ramos Painting	David D. Cross DIR - DLSE - LEGAL SECTION
7	Carlos Ray Ramos Ramos Painting P.O. Box 3871 Paso Robles, California 93447	2031 Howe Avenue, Suite 100 Sacramento, California 95825
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15 16		
10	· · ·	· · · · · ·
18	· ·	
19		
20		and and a second se
21		
22		
. 23		
24		
25		
-26	•	
27		
28		
0001000-000		· ·
STATE OF CALIFORNIA	PROOF (	OF SERVICE