# WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD STATE OF CALIFORNIA

### MIGUEL MUNDO, Applicant

VS.

# MERCURY TIRE COMPANY, INC.; STATE COMPENSATION INSURANCE FUND, Defendants

Adjudication Numbers: ADJ1238936 (LAO0814099) ADJ4330094 (LAO0814106) Oxnard District Office

## OPINION AND ORDER DISMISSING PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION

We have considered the allegations of the Petition for Reconsideration and the contents of the report of the workers' compensation administrative law judge (WCJ) with respect thereto. Based on our review of the record, the petition is untimely and must be dismissed.

There are twenty-five (25) days allowed within which to file a petition for reconsideration from a "final" decision that has been served by mail upon an address in California. (Lab. Code, §§ 5900(a), 5903; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 8, former § 10507(a)(1), now § 10605(a)(1) (eff. Jan. 1, 2020).) This time limit is extended to the next business day if the last day for filing falls on a weekend or holiday. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 8, former § 10508, now § 10600 (eff. Jan. 1, 2020); Code Civ. Proc., §§ 12a, 12b, 135; Gov. Code, § 6700.) To be timely, however, a petition for reconsideration must be filed with (i.e., received by) the WCAB within the time allowed; proof that the petition was mailed (posted) within that period is insufficient. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 8, former § 10845(a), now § 10940(a); former § 10392(a), now § 10615(b) (eff. Jan. 1, 2020).)

This time limit is jurisdictional and, therefore, the Appeals Board has no authority to consider or act upon an untimely petition for reconsideration. (*Maranian v. Workers' Comp. Appeals Bd.* (2000) 81 Cal.App.4th 1068, 1076 [65 Cal.Comp.Cases 650]; *Rymer v. Hagler* (1989) 211 Cal.App.3d 1171, 1182; *Scott v. Workers' Comp. Appeals Bd.* (1981) 122 Cal.App.3d 979, 984 [46 Cal.Comp.Cases 1008]; *U.S. Pipe & Foundry Co. v. Industrial Acc. Com. (Hinojoza)* (1962) 201 Cal.App.2d 545, 549 [27 Cal.Comp.Cases 73].)

The petition in this matter was filed on Thursday, March 25, 2021. This was more than twenty-five days after the service of the WCJ's Friday, February 26, 2021 decision and beyond whatever extension of time, if any, the petitioner might have been entitled to under WCAB Rule 10600.

For the foregoing reasons,

IT IS ORDERED that the Petition for Reconsideration is DISMISSED.

#### WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD

#### /s/ MARGUERITE SWEENEY, COMMISSIONER

I CONCUR,

/s/ KATHERINE WILLIAMS DODD, COMMISSIONER

/s/ KATHERINE ZALEWSKI, CHAIR



DATED AND FILED AT SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

May 19, 2021

SERVICE MADE ON THE ABOVE DATE ON THE PERSONS LISTED BELOW AT THEIR ADDRESSES SHOWN ON THE CURRENT OFFICIAL ADDRESS RECORD.

EDWIN GROMIS, M.D. WILLIAM ESPINOZA, HEARING REPRESENTATIVE STATE COMPENSATION INSURANCE FUND

JB/abs

I certify that I affixed the official seal of the Workers' Compensation Appeals Board to this original decision on this date. *abs*